IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NOS. 1670/2017 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO(S).1132/2011

RESIDENTS WELFARE
ASSOCIATION PREM NAGAR (REGD.)

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

MINISTI S. & ORS.

... RESPONDENT (S)

ORDER

- 1. By order dated 11.09.2017 instead of issuing notice in the present contempt petition we had directed that a copy of the contempt petition be furnished to the learned counsels for the State of Uttar Pradesh and the Ghaziabad Development Authority to enable the learned counsels to obtain necessary instructions in the matter.
- 2. Pursuant thereto instructions have been received by the learned counsels which have been laid before the Court.
- 3. On behalf of the authority of the State of Uttar Pradesh, it has been stated that part of the land in question i.e. about 8000 sq.yard is the subject matter of certain

claims of Bhumidars, which claims are being at presently looked into by the authorities by the District Administration. It has been further stated that nearly 2000 sq.yard of land belonging to Gram Panchyat, is free from

2

4. Before us is an order of the High Court dated 19.01.2017 passed in Public Interest Litigation No.1868 of 2017 concerning the same park i.e. Prem Nagar (Nehru Park), which is to the following effect:

any encroachments.

"Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if direction is issued to the second respondent to examine the petitioner's allegation of encroachment over Nehru and if it is found to be correct, direction may be issued remove the encroachment and restore the park to its original position. Petition is disposed of with direction to the respondent to look into grievance of the petitioner and if it is found to be correct, he shall take the appropriate action expeditiously and, in any case, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order. Petitioner is directed to produce copy of this order along with copy of

the writ petition and its annexures before the second respondent within then days from today."

3

- 5. In view of the above facts, we do not any justification to entertain this contempt petition any further. Accordingly, we leave the parties to the remedies as may be available to them in law in light of the facts recorded above.
- Contempt Petition is, accordingly, closed in the above terms.

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,	J	•
								(R	A	N	J	Α	N		G	0	G	0	Ι)

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,	J	•
									(NAVIN							S	Ι	N	Η	Α	.)

NEW DELHI OCTOBER 27, 2017 SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION (C) NOS. 1670/2017 IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S).1132/2011

RESIDENTS WELFARE ASSOCIATION PREM NAGAR (REGD.) Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MINISTI S. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and IA No.78973/2017-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date: 27-10-2017 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN SINHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kaushal Yadav, AOR

Mr. Nandlal Kumar Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Singh, Adv.

Mr. R.K. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Sunita Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vinay Garg, AOR

Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Uttamchandra Upadhyay, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

Application for exemption from filing official translation is allowed.

Contempt petition is closed in terms of the signed order.

(NEETU KHAJURIA) COURT MASTER (ASHA SONI) BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file.)