$\frac{1}{4}$ ITEM NO.20 COURT NO.5 SECTION IVB SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C).....CC 23188-23215/2016 (ARISING OUT OF IMPUGNED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 06/10/2015IN RFA NOS. 2405, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 3665, 4546, 5344, 5457, 5458, 5459, 5473, 5474, 5475, 5476, 5477, 5478, 5480, 5482, 8382, 8384, 8387, 8445, 8446, 8768, 9115 OF 2014 AND 438 OF 2015 PASSED BY THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH) HARMESH @ RAMESH KUMAR AND ORS. ETC. ETC. PETITIONER(S) VERSUS UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH AND ORS. ETC. ETC. RESPONDENT(S) (WITH APPLN. (S) FOR C/DELAY IN FILING SLP AND C/DELAY IN REFILING SLP AND OFFICE REPORT) Date: 09/12/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today. HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE L. NAGESWARA RAO For Petitioner(s) Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Adv. Mr. Manoj Dhiman, Adv. Mr. Jugal Kishor Gupta, Adv. Ms. Eshita Baruah, Adv. Mr. Surinder Kumar Gupta, Adv. For Respondent(s) UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the relevant material.

Delay condoned. Issue notice limited to the question of enhancement of compensation on account of difference of eight months between the Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 in the present cases and the Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 in the present cases and the Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 in the relied upon case (Gurpreet Singh and another Vs. Union Territory, Chandigarh). [VINOD LAKHINA]

COURT MASTER [TAPAN KR. CHAKRABORTY]

COURT MASTER

Page No. 1 of 1