

ITEM NO.9

Court 8 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION IV-B

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.13503/2020**

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-08-2020 in CRN No. 03/2020 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh)

**HARYANA SPACE APPLICATION CENTRE (HARSAC) & ANR.      Petitioner(s)**

**VERSUS**

**M/S PAN INDIA CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD.**

**Respondent(s)**

**(FOR ADMISSION and I.R.)**

**Date : 11-12-2020 This petition was called on for hearing today.**

**CORAM :**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDU MALHOTRA**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY**

**For Petitioner(s)**

**Mr. Hemant Gupta, AAG, Haryana**  
**Mr. Alok Sharma, Adv.**  
**Ms. Payal Gupta, Adv.**  
**Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR**

**For Respondent(s)**

**Mr. Angad Mehta, Adv.**  
**Mr. Kaushik Laik, AOR**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following**  
**O R D E R**

**The Court is convened through Video Conferencing.**

**Heard the learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the petitioners and the learned counsel appearing for the sole respondent.**

**Issue notice returnable on Monday, the 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2021.**

**In the meanwhile, further proceedings before the arbitral tribunal shall remain stayed.**

The issues which arise for consideration in this case are (a) whether the constitution of the arbitral tribunal was constituted contrary to the provisions of Section 10 (2) and Section 12 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (as amended by 2015 amendment); (b) whether the time could be repetitively extended by the Court under the provisions of Section 29A of the Act? and (c) whether the High Court ought to have exercised jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for granting extension of time.

List the matter for final hearing on 11-1-2021.

(VISHAL ANAND)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(BEENA JOLLY)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)