

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).21211/2012

(From the judgement and order dated 25/05/2012 in DBCWP
No.6591/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR)

BIRLA CORPN.LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BHANWAR SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions, permission to file synopsis and list of
dates and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 22377 of 2012

(With appln(s) for directions and with prayer for interim relief
and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 23551 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 25186 of 2012

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 26197 of 2012

((With appln(s) for directions and with prayer for interim relief
and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 29698 of 2012

(With office report)

Date: 26/08/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Pravin H. Parekh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. E.R. Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Galav Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Kshatrshal Raj, Adv. for
M/S. Parekh & Co.

SLP 23551, 25186 Mr. Praveen Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Sunaina Kumar, Adv.

SLP 26197 Mr. Irshad Ahmad ,Adv.

SLP 22377 Mr. Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. Ambar Qamaruddin, Adv.
Mr. Vinod Kumar K., Adv.

SLP 29698 Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.
Mr. Salman Hashmi, Adv.
Mr. Giriraj Subramanium, Adv.
Ms. Sana Hashmi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, Adv.

Mr. K.B. Rohtagi ,Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Kasana, Adv.
Ms. Aparna Rohtagi Jain, Adv.

Mr. Irshad Ahmad ,Adv

Mr. Annam D.N. Rao ,Adv
Mr. Sudipto, Adv.
Ms. Mansha Monga, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Jain, Adv.

Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.

Ms. Sana Hashmi, Adv.
Ms. Rukhmini Bobde, Adv.
M/S. Parekh & Co.

Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 to 6 submits that on the letter for adjournment circulated by the advocate-on-record for the petitioner in S.L.P. (C) No. 21211 of 2012, she made an endorsement that she would oppose the adjournment because the petitioner had sought four weeks' adjournment. She submits that she has no objection if the matter is adjourned for a week.

Mr. Praveen H. Parekh, learned senior counsel for the petitioner prays that the matter may be kept after one week.

List this group of matters after one week, as prayed.

|(Pardeep Kumar)
|Court Master

|(Renu Diwan)
|Court Master

|
|