

www.ecourtsindia.com

¼
IV

ITEM NO.90

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal

No(s). 7424-7425/2011

SURINDER KAUR (D) TR.LR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

BAHADUR SINGH(D) TR.LRS.& ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 11/12/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ajay Kumar Talesara,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mrs.Manisha Bhandari,adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellant and the Ld.counsel for the appearing respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they were notified to do so by notice dated 1.09.2012 of this Registry. The respondent Nos.5-9 have been deleted from the array of parties by order dated 22.10.2010 of the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special

Signature Not Verified

leave
Digitally signed by to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and d
oes not
Sushma Kumari Bajaj
Date: 2014.12.12

desire to file any further
16:49:10 IST

Reason:
provides that if the respondent has entered appearance a
nd does

.2
ITEM NO.90

not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB