ITEM NO.1749

COURT NO.10

SECTION XVI

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

PETITION(S) FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (C) NO(S).16789-16790/2023

PRASENJIT DAS & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

(I.A. No. 152073/2024 i.e. application for deletion of respondents is to be listed before Hon'ble Judge-In-Chambers
IA No. 152073/2024 - DELETING THE NAME OF PETITIONER/RESPONDENT)

Date: 28-11-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ali Asghar Rahim, Adv.

Ms. Sanskriti Shakuntala Gupta Adv., Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Nandini Sen Mukherjee, AOR

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR

Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Sukesh Ghosh, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Mohod, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Gyan, Adv.

Mr. Keshav Dev, Adv.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

IA No. 152073/2024

This application filed on behalf of the petitioners seeking deletion of a large number of unserved respondents, (as detailed in the application), is allowed at the risk and peril of the petitioners. The names of these respondents are struck off

2

from the array of parties.

However, it is made clear that the objections taken by the other respondents that the deleted respondents are necessary parties in this petition, shall remain open for consideration at the time of final decision on the appeal.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf the respondent Nos.1 and 2 has e-filed counter affidavit.

As per the office report, the counter affidavit is in four volumes, which are stated to be defective for the reason of illegible/dim impression of all the annexures in the four volumes.

Learned counsel submits that these documents have been filed just to demonstrate the fact that the petitioners have been filing litigations in different forums simultaneously pertaining to the same recruitment process.

In view of the submissions noted above, the office objection regarding the illegible/faint documents in the counter filed by Ms. Nandini Sen Mukherjee, learned counsel, is overruled.

Pleadings are complete.

Amended memo of parties be filed within two weeks.

Let the Special Leave Petition be listed before the Court.

(B. LAKSHMI MANIKYA VALLI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(RAVINDER KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)