INDIA

(ARISING OUT OF IMPUGNED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 18/12/2014 IN CRLA NO. 1084/2014 PASSED BY THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM)

THE CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

PRAKASHAN C & ORS.

(FOR VACATING STAY AND OFFICE REPORT)

RESPONDENT(S)

Date : 23/11/2015 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE R. BANUMATHI

For parties :

Mr. Tushar Mehta, ASG Ms. Madhurima Mridul, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.

Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.

G. Prakash, Adv. Jishnu M.L., Adv. Priyanka Prakash, Adv.

Aditya Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

A perusal of the office report dated 19th November,

2015 indicates that service on respondents Nos.2 and 3 is

not complete. Liberty is granted to the learned counsel

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by

Vinod Lakhina

Date: 2015.11.24 for the petitioner, to effect service on unserved

16:35:05 IST

Reason:

Page No.1 of 2

respondent Nos. 2 and 3 through the Standing Counsel for the State of Kerala nominated for this Court.

Learned counsel for the contesting respondent No.1 states, that it is not necessary to file any formal counter affidavit to the instant Special Leave Petition, on account

This is a True Copy of the court records online. Authenticated @ https://eCourtsIndia.com/

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

2015.

of the fact that all necessary facts have already been placed on the record of this case through Crl.M.P. No.17403 of 2015 in Special Leave Petition (Criminal) No.6854 of 2015.

In view of the above, pleadings in the instant matter will be deemed to be complete, as respondents Nos. 2 and 3 are only proforma respondents.

List the matter for final disposal on 2nd December,

[VINOD LAKHINA]
COURT MASTER

[RENUKA SADANA]
COURT MASTER

Page No.2 of 2