## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).10711/2023

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-02-2023 in CRLWP No.522/2021 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

MANISH KHANDELWAL

**Petitioner** 

**VERSUS** 

MAHARASHTRA STATE POLICE COMPLAINT AUTHORITY & ORS.

Respondents

(with I.A. No.162098/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, I.A. No.162101/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., I.A. No.162099/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES, I.A. No.162096/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, I.A. No.191265/2023-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE SPARE COPIES, I.A. No.162098/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, I.A. No.162101/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., I.A. No.162096/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES and I.A. No.162099/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

Date: 12-12-2024 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Bhavesh Parmar, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Gaekwad, Adv.

Mr. Anandh K., Adv.

Ms. Nishita Jagetia, Adv.

Ms. Iyer Shruti Gopal, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.

Mr. Dharav Shah, Adv.

Mr. Suyash Goverdhan, Adv.

Mr. Pranaya Goyal, AOR

Mr. Faisal Sayyed, Adv.

Mr. Nagarkatti Kartik Uday, AOR

## UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

1. Read the office report. All the respondents have been served.

Mr. Bharat Bagla, learned counsel appears for the respondents 2 and 12, 2.

Mr. Dharav Shah, learned counsel appears for the respondents 8 and 9 and Mr.

Nagarkatti Kartik Uday, learned counsel appears for the respondents 10 and 11.

3. Leave granted.

List the appeal on 19<sup>th</sup> December, 2024, to be taken up at 12:00 noon.

5. In the meanwhile, Mr. Bharat Bagla, learned counsel shall obtain

instructions as to whether he can represent the Maharashtra State Police

Complaint Authority, i.e., respondent no.1.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances, we propose to dispose of

the appeal on the next date with a direction upon the Maharashtra State Police

Complaint Authority to look into the complaint of the appellant dated 14th

August, 2020.

(RASHMI DHYANI PANT) **COURT MASTER (SH)** 

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA) **COURT MASTER (NSH)**