

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.8

SECTION IIB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)..... CRLMP
14569-14571/2014

No(s).

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 31/05/2014
in CRMMO No. 4099/2013,31/05/2014 in CRMMO No. 4105/2013,31/05/2014
in CRMMO No. 4098/2013 passed by the High Court Of H.p At Shimla)

M/S. JHS SVENDGAARD LABORATORIES LTD.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHANTANU MAHARAJ KHOSLA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln. (s) for permission to file slp and office report)

Date : 14/08/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Kapil Sibbal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Haren Rawal, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.
Mr. Yash Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Ankita Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shashwat Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Anando Mukerjee, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Veer Kehar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R.S. Cheema, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv.
Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, Adv.
Mr. Samarjeet Patnyak, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Garg, Adv.
Mr. Ishant Gaur, Adv.
M/s. Karanjawala & Co.

Signature Not Verified

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

Digitally signed by

O R D E R

Naveen Kumar

Date: 2014.08.20

12:33:22 IST

Reason:

Heard Mr. Kabil Sibbal and Mr. H.P. Raval, learned
senior counsel for the petitioner and Dr. A.M. Singhvi,
Mr. R.S. Cheema and Mr. Siddharth Luthra, learned senior

2

counsel for the respondents.

In the course of hearing, regard being had to the
dispute, a suggestion was given by the Court that the
parties should endeavour to explore the possibilities to
arrive at a settlement.

We must appreciably state that
Mr. Sibbal and Dr. Singhvi agreed in promptitude.

Parties
are prepared to sit across the table to arrive at a
solvation so that the hostility that has cropped up
between the parties would gradually melt down.

Learned senior counsel further conceded that the

authorised representatives of both the parties, who can take decisions, will sit at a particular place in Delhi and deliberate and make sincere efforts with sacrosanctity of conviction so that a settlement could be arrived at. Needless to state, it would be in the interest of parties as well as in the interest of cause.

To explore the possibilities, we hope and trust that the parties shall conduct themselves with immense maturity, regard being had to the experience they have got in the field of business.

We part with the case at present and adjourn it for eight weeks.

List on 03.11.2014.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER