

ITEM NO.62

COURT NO.6

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Transfer Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 949/2014

ROHINI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HEMANT KUMAR SAINI

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for stay and office report)

Date : 09/03/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ajay Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Yadav, Adv.
Mr. B.P. Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Manju Sharma Jetley, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rakesh Dahiya, Adv. (NP)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The transfer petition is allowed in terms of
the signed order.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)
COURT MASTER

(INDU BALA KAPUR)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 949 OF 2014

ROHINI

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

HEMANT KUMAR SAINI

.. RESPONDENT

O R D E R

This is a petition seeking transfer of matrimonial case being HMA No.850 of 2014 titled as "*Hemant Kumar Saini Vs. Rohini*" pending before the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Karkardooma, Delhi, to the District Judge, Meerut, U.P.

2. Respondent though served is not represented. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. On the facts and circumstances, this is a fit case for transfer.

3. We, accordingly, allow the transfer petition. Case being HMA No.850 of 2014 titled as "*Hemant Kumar Saini Vs. Rohini*" pending before the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Karkardooma, Delhi is ordered to be transferred to the District Judge, Meerut, U.P. who shall either hear the matter himself or assign it to the court of competent jurisdiction. The transferee court is requested to dispose of the transferred case expeditiously.

4. No order as to costs.

.....J.
(J. CHELAMESWAR)

New Delhi;
MARCH 09, 2015

.....J.
(R.K. AGRAWAL)