

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).31070-31071/2012
(From the judgment and order dated 22/12/2011 in CA No.44/2009 and CA No.43/2009 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY)

SURUCHI CHAND & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KAYCEE INVESTMENT PVT. LTD. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file synopsis and list of dates and permission to file additional documents and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) NO. 25016-25017 of 2013

(With appln.(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record and permission to file synopsis and list of dates and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 02/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER SINGH NIJJAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For Petitioner(s)

SLP(C)31070-71/12 &
rr in 25016-17/13

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ashish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Abhay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. U.P. Singh, Adv.

SLP(C)25016-17/13 &
rr in 31070-71/12

Mr. Basava Prabhu Patil, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Pramod B. Agarwala, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Mehra, Adv.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Issue notice.

Since only a pure question of law is involved, let the parties exchange their pleadings by way of counter affidavit within four weeks and rejoinder affidavit within two weeks thereafter.

Set down all the matters thereafter for final disposal together.

In the meantime, parties are directed to maintain status quo with regard to the disputed property. However, the direction with regard to remand passed by the Andhra Pradesh High Court shall remain stayed.

| (VINOD LAKHINA)

| COURT MASTER

| | (INDU BALA KAPUR)

| | COURT MASTER