

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s) . 703/2014

N. RAJARAMAN AND ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for permission to appear and argue in person)

Date : 16/12/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Petitioner-in-person, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.

Ms. Nithya, Adv.

Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv.

Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Adv.

Mr. G. S. Makker, Adv.

Mr. Sibbo Sankar Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

M/s Arputham, Adv.

Aruna & Co., Adv.

Mr. Vibhu Shanker Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, Adv.

.....2

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar
Mr. Prashant Kenjale, Adv.

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meith, Adv.
Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Ld. counsel for the respondent No.26 has already filed the counter affidavit but he has failed to file the vakalatnama. Therefore, the counter affidavit filed by him on behalf of the said respondent is taken off the record.

However, service of respondent No.26 is deemed as complete as matter pertains to Environment and the counter affidavit is executed by the Dy. Secretary, Environment Department, Govt. of Meghalaya.

The petitioner-in-person has failed to file the affidavit in proof of dasti service of notice issued to the respondent Nos. 2, 9, 11, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23, 30, 33 and 37, despite opportunities given. Therefore, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further directions. Await orders and list thereafter.

(M V RAMESH)
Registrar

SB