```
ê%
```

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.5

SECTION IIIA

```
SUPREME COURT
                  O F
                       INDIA
         RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
```

I.A. Nos. 5-6/2012 in ORIGINAL SUIT NO. 3 OF 2002

STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Plaintiff(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA & ORS.

Defendant(s)

(for directions and office report)

WITH

Contempt Petition (Civil) No. 61 of 2013 in I.A. No. 1/13 in I.A. No. 5 of 2012 in ORIGINAL SUIT NO. 3 OF 2002

Date: 25/02/2013 The IAs and Contempt Petition were called on

for hearing today.

CORAM :

www.ecourtsindia.com

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Plaintiff(s)/ For Petitioner(s)

State of Tamil Nadu

Mr. C.S. Vaidyanathan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Guru Krishna Kumar, A.A.G.

Mr. Subramoniam Prasad, Adv.

Mr. G. Umapathy, Adv.

Mr. C. Parmasivam, Adv.

Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.

For Defendant (s)/
For Respondent(s)

State of Karnataka

Mr. F.S. Nariman, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Anil B. Divan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S. Vijay Shankar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S.S. Javali, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mohan V. Kararki, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Mr. Brijesh Kalappa, Adv.

Mr. S.C. Sharma, Adv. Mr. Ranvir Singh, Adv.

State of Kerala Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Adv.

Mr. G. Prakash , Adv. (Not Present)

U.O.I. Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Rawat, Adv.

Mr. Alok Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal ,Adv

U.T., Puducherry

This is a True Copy of the Mr. A.S. Nambiar, Sr. Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam , Adv

Mr. P.K. Manohar, Adv.

Ms. Shanta Vasudevan, Adv.

Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.

Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

Interlocutory Application No. 5 of 2012

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, learned counsel for the Union Of India, submits that the notification has been issued by the Government of India under Section 6 of the Inter-State Water Disputes Act, 1956 publishing the decision of the Cauvery Water Disputes Tribunal dated February 5, 2007 in the Official Gazette. We accept his statement.

We are informed that water is being released by Karnataka in compliance of the order dated February 7, 2013.

 $\,$ In view of above, nothing further remains for decision in this Interlocutory Application.

Interlocutory Application No. 5 of 2012 stands disposed of accordingly.

Interlocutory Application No. 6 of 2012

Learned senior counsel for the parties are ad idem that this interlocutory application does not survive.

Interlocutory Application No. 6 of 2012 is disposed of as infructuous.

Contempt Petition (Civil) No. 61 of 2013 in I.A. No. 1/13 in I.A. No. 5 of 2012 in ORIGINAL SUIT NO. 3 OF 2002

Mr. C.S. Vaidyanathan, learned senior counsel for the petitioner - State of Tamil Nadu, submits that the order dated February 7, 2013 passed by this Court has been substantially complied with

In view thereof, we are not inclined to entertain this Contempt Petition. Contempt Petition is disposed of accordingly.

Let Civil Appeal No. 2453 of 2007 titled "State of Karnataka Vs. State of Tamil Nadu & Ors." along with connected matters and Original Suit No. 3 of 2001 titled "State of Tamil Nadu Vs. State of Karnataka & Ors." and Original Suit No. 3 of 2002 be listed for final hearing on August 6, 2013.

|(Rajesh Dham) |Court Master |(Renu Diwan) |Court Master