SUPREME

COURT OF INDIA

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).20146/2009 (From the judgement and order dated 10/11/2008 in RFA No. 1601/2003 of The HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE)

B.V.NAGESH & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

H.V.SREENIVASA MURTHY

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

(For final dispoal)

Date: 24/09/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Basava Prabhu S. Patil, Sr. Adv.

Mr. B. Subrahmanya Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Kumar M.Adv. Mr.Rajendra Prasad B.Adv. Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

In-Person

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Heard learned senior counsel for the

appellants as well as respondent appearing in

person.

Leave granted.

The civil appeal is disposed of

accordingly.

[Madhu Bala] [Savita Sainani] Court Master Sr. P.A. (Signed reportable order is placed on the file)

REPORTABLE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8259 OF 2010 (@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 20146 OF 2009)

B.V. Nagesh & Anr.

.... Appellant (s)

Versus

H.V. Sreenivasa Murthy

.... Respondent(s)

ORDER

1) Leave granted.

The

appellate

2) Heard learned senior counsel for the appellants and respondent appearing in person.

The impugned judgment passed by the High Court arose out of

- regular first appeal filed under Section 96 CPC. Ιt is the grievance of the appellants that the High Court, without adverting to all the factual details and various grounds raised, disposed of the appeal in а cryptic manner. Tn the light οf the above assertion, we verified the impugned judgment of the High Court. The High Court, after narrating the pleadings of both parties, without framing points for determination and considering both facts and law set aside the judgment and decree of the trial Court and modified the same without proper discussion and assigning adequate reasons.
- 2 4) How regular first appeal is to be disposed of by the appellate Court/High Court has been considered by this Court in various decisions. Order XLI of C.P.C. deals with appeals from original decrees. Among the various rules, Rule 31 mandates that he judgment of the appellate Court shall state:
 - a) the points for determination;
 - b) the decision thereon;
 - c) reasons for the decision; and -

Court

d) where the decree appealed from is reversed or varied, the relief to which the appellant is entitled.

findings of the trial Court. The first appeal is a valuable right of the parties and unless restricted by law, the whole case therein is open for re-hearing both on questions of fact and law.

has jurisdiction

therefore, judgment of the appellate Court must, reflect conscious application of mind record findings and supported by reasons, on all the issues arising along with the contentions put-

to

reverse

affirm

or

forth and pressed by the parties for decision of the appellate

Sitting as a court of appeal, it was the duty of the High Court. Court to deal with all the issues and the evidence led by the its findings. The first parties before recording appeal valuable right and the parties have a right to be heard both on questions of law and on facts and the judgment in the first appeal must address itself to all the issues of law and fact and decide it by giving reasons in support of the findings. [Vide Santosh Hazari vs. Purushottam Tiwari, (2001) 3 SCC 179 = JT (2001) 2 SC 407 and Madhukar and Others vs. Sangram and Others, (2001) 4 SCC 756] 5) In view of the above salutary principles, on going through the has impugned judgment, we feel that the High Court failed discharge the obligation placed on it as a first appellate Court. In our view, the judgment under appeal is cryptic and none of the have even been noticed. The appeal relevant aspects has been decided in an unsatisfactory manner. Our careful perusal of the judgment in the regular first appeal shows that it falls short of considerations which are expected from the Court of first appeal. Accordingly, without going into the merits of the claim of both parties, we set aside the impugned judgment and decree of the High Court and remand the regular first appeal to the High Court for its fresh disposal in accordance with law. Inasmuch as the first appeal is pending from 2003, we request 6) the High Court to dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible. The civil appeal is disposed of accordingly. (P. SATHASIVAM)

is

(P. SATHASIVAM)

.....J.

(DR. B.S.CHAUHAN)

NEW DELHI; SEPTEMBER 24, 2010. 4