

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IVB

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 495/2016

SHREE KRISHNA STEELS AND ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MULAKH RAJ DHAMIJA AND ORS. Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 1162/2016

(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned judgment and Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 1179/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 4432-4433/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 4438-4439/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 1856/2016

Interim Relief and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 4440-4451/2016

Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 4452-4463/2016

(With (With (With appln.(s) for exemption from filing c/c of the impugned order and appln.(s) for permission to file additional documents and appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

Signature Not Verified

SLP(C) No. 4410-4421/2016

Digitally signed by

POOJA SHARMA

Date: 2016.08.11

(With (With appln.(s) for permission to file additional documents

16:27:07 IST

Reason:

and appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 4434-4435/2016

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

Item No.75

2

SLP(C) No. 6198/2016

Date : 11/08/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Saurav Arora, Adv.
Mr. S. S. Shroff, Adv.
Mr. Malak Bhatt, Adv.

Mr. Shashi Bhushan, Adv.
Mr. Vinay Garg, Adv.

Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Navneet Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Batra, Adv.
Mr. Ajit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. R. C. Kaushik, Adv.
Ms. M. Sarada, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ajay Marwah, Adv.

Mr. Satish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.

Ms. Usha Nandini. V, Adv.

Mr. S. S. Shroff, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C) No. 1856/2016

Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has stated that he has already filed the proof of dasti service in respect of all the respondents. Office shall verify the position and report accordingly.

SLP(C) Nos. 4440-4451, 4452-4463 and 4410-4421/2016

Mr. Siddharth Batra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has stated that he is unable to file the proof of dasti in respect of the unserved respondents hence, fresh steps for the service of

Item No.75 3

notice by usual mode to all the unserved respondents shall be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioners within a period of four weeks. Dasti in addition is permitted to be served through the Trial Court the particulars of which shall be furnished by the learned counsel for the petitioner within the period prescribed above.

In rest of the matters four weeks time, as last chance is given to the learned counsel for the petitioners for filing the affidavit in proof of dasti service of notice already issued to the unserved respondents.

List again on 23.9.2016.

(M V RAMESH)
Registrar

MG