SUPREME

COURT O F INDIA

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2010 CC 17790/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 677/2008 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY) 07/08/2009 in WP No.

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KAMANA LEASING & FIN.P.LTD.

Respondent(s)

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

WITH S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 17846 of 2010

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 17893 of 2010

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 17895 of 2010

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 17907 of 2010

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 17913 of 2010

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 18041 of 2010

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 18070 of 2010

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP, c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 18216 of 2010

With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP, c/delay in refiling SLP and

office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 18219 of 2010 With I.A.No.1 (C/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 18332 of 2010

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 18385 of 2010

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP, c/delay in refiling SLP office report)

Date: 29/11/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukund Gupta, Sr Adv.

Mr. C.V. Subba Rao, Adv.

Aman Ahluwalia, Adv. Mr. Ms. Ritu Shawney, Adv.

Mr. Somprakash, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

...2.

- 2 -

ITEM NO.18+48

COURT NO.3

DATED 29/11/2010contd.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

We find that the judgment of the High Court legal to a purely relates issue, that is, constitutional validity of a provision of the Income The Union of India instead of filing Tax Act, 1961. appeals regard to all the batch of in writ petitions which were disposed of by a common judgment, is filing individual petitions in trickles with a delay of now more than 200 days. If the judgment is common and if a decision is taken to challenge the same, we fail to understand why the appeals should be filed in instalment. As the petitioner is aware of the decision and has already filed SLPs challenging the very same order, we are of the view that the reasons given for condonation of delay are unacceptable. We also fail to understand why two or three volumes of papers should be filed in regard to each individual case when a single batch of appeals will reduce the work of everyone and the cost and the space required. In this background, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks time to clarify the issue and file proper affidavit and take necessary steps.

Adjourned by four weeks.

(Ravi P. Verma) Court Master

(Mithlesh Gupta) Court Master