```
For Respondent(s)

This is a True Copy of
```

```
ITEM NO.39 COURT NO.2
```

_ _ _ _

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C)

No(s). 19131/2012

SECTION IIIA

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 26/09/2011 in WTA No. 18/2005 passed by the High Court Of Karnataka At Bangalore)

COMMR.OF WEALTH TAX & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SMT. PRAMODA DEVI WODIYAR

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and substitution of l.rs of the deceased respondent)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 19132/2012

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

SLP(C) No. 19133/2012

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and appln.(s) for substitution of lrs. of the deceased respondent and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 19134/2012

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 22614/2012 (With Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 26329/2012

(With appln.(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and Office Report)

SLP(C) No. 38003/2012 (With Office Report)

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Date}}: 18/12/2014$$ These petitions were called on for hearing Signature Not Verified

today.

Digitally signed by

CORAM :

Shashi Sareen Date: 2014.12.19 09:04:58 ALMT

Reason:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. N.K.Kaul, ASG, Mr. Arijit Prasad, Adv.

2.

Ms. Sadhna Sandhu, Adv. Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Nishtha Kumar, Adv.

Mr.Rajat Sehgal, Adv. Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv. UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

In view of the letter circulated on behalf of the respondent, six weeks' time finally is granted for filing counter affidavit.

Rejoinder, if any, be filed within $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

Post after ten weeks.

(Shashi Sareen) Court Master (Veena Khera) Court Master