

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. SANJAY PARIHAR

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).  
32186-32199/2014

S G JAMALUDHEEN ETC ETC

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA AND ORS ETC ETC & ORS. Respondent(s)  
(To list common application for substituted service in respect of  
unserved respondents)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 3911-3949/2017 (IV-A)

S.L.P. (C) ...CC No. 2821-2859/2017 (IV-A)

S.L.P. (C) ...CC No. 2880-2918/2017 (IV-A)

(The matter will be listed before the Hon'ble Court alongwith main  
matter.)

SLP(C) No. 30334/2016 (IV-A)

S.L.P. (C) ...CC No. 2570-2571/2017 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 32333-32357/2014 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 32427-32452/2014 (IV-A)

SLP(C) No. 33470-33548/2014 (IV-A)

( and IA No.32014/2018-SUBSTITUTED SERVICE)

SLP(C) No. 2645-2674/2015 (IV-A)

(List on 08.02.2018.)

SLP(C) No. 34490-34493/2014 (IV-A)

(Being fresh matters, list accordingly)

SLP(C) No. 4713-4976/2015 (IV-A)

(Being fresh matters, list accordingly.)

SLP(C) No. 1478-1479/2015 (IV-A)

(List before the Hon'ble Court as complete category.)

Date : 03-04-2018 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Naveen R. Nath, AOR

Mr. H. Chandra Sekhar, AOR

Mr. Debasis Misra, AOR

Mr. Ankolekar Gurudatta, AOR

Ms. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyaya, AOR

Mr. Sanjeeb Panigrahi, AOR

Mr. Nishant Patil, Adv.  
Mr. Prasanna Mohan, Adv.  
Mr. Anup Jain, AOR

Mr. Rajesh Inamdar, Adv.  
Mr. Gautam Talukdar, AOR

Mr. M.S.Saran Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Naresh Kumar, AOR

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR  
Dr. (Mrs. ) Vipin Gupta, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR  
Mr. Harish Pandey, AOR

Ms. Rekha Chandra Sekhar, Adv.  
Mr. H. Chandra Sekhar, AOR

Mr. Sudhanshu Prakash, Adv.  
Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Adv.

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, AOR  
Mr. R. V. Kameshwaran, AOR  
Mr. Ankolekar Gurudatta, AOR  
Mr. Purushottam Sharma Tripathi, AOR

Mr. D.P. Chaturvedi, Adv.  
Mr. S. N. Bhat, AOR

Ms. Pooja Jha, Adv.  
Mr. Ravi Shankar Jha, Adv.  
Mr. Sumant Kumar Jha, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

SLP(C) Nos. 32186-32199 and 32427-32452/2014

Service is deemed complete on common respondent Nos. 12 and 15 as they are served in SLP(C) Nos. 32333-57/2014. Now matter falls under complete category. Viewed thus, the matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

SLP(C) Nos. 33470-33548/2014

Service is deemed complete on respondent No.3 as he is served in SLP(C) Nos. 32186-32199/2014. Now matter falls under complete category. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

I.A. for early hearing filed by respondent Nos. 1 and 2 may be listed as per rules.

SLP(C) No. 2645-2674/2015

Service is deemed complete on respondent Nos. 6,12 and 15 as they are served in SLP(C) Nos. 32186-32199 and 32333-57/2014

Being complete matters, place the same before the Hon'ble Court, as per rules.

SLP(C) Nos. 32333-32357/2014

Respondent No.68 is reported to be dead. Learned counsel for the petitioners shall within a period of four weeks, as last chance file an application for substitution to bring on record his Lrs., in case he desires so.

It is submitted by the counsel for the parties that respondent No.27, 49 and 69 are petitioners in SLP(C) Nos. 32186-32199/2014, being co-petitioner service is deemed complete in this matter. Counsel appearing for respondent No.5 in SLP(C) Nos. 32333-57/2014 submits that he is also petitioner in SLP(C) No. 30334/2016 in which pleadings are complete and are lying for listing before the Hon'ble Court, but since service not being affected on the respondent Nos. 20 to 24, 26,29, 34 to 37, 39-43, 48,53,54,67,71 and 76 in this matter the same is getting delayed. He further undertakes that though it is the duty of the petitioner to serve the respondents, however, he volunteers to serve these respondents by substituted process. This is taken on record. He further submits that he has already filed an application being I.A. No. 32014/2018 in SLP(C) No. 33470-33548/2014 seeking service of these respondents by directing the State of Karnataka to take steps for

service by way of substituted service. The prayer so made cannot be considered because State of Karnataka would not be asked to take steps for substituted service, as it is the domain of the petitioner that he has to take steps for service of all the respondents instead of State of Karnataka to take steps for substituted service. Therefore on the request of the Ld. Counsel for the said Respondent No.5 substituted process on his own cost is allowed. He may obtain proclamation from the Registry and file proof thereof within four weeks.

List again on 16.5.2018.

SANJAY PARIHAR  
Registrar

MG