IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 4628/2006

SHAIKH MOHD. YUSUF MOHD. YAKUB

...APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

- We have heard the learned counsels for the parties.
- 2. The appellant claims to be a member of the Shikalgar community and a original resident of Satara. He secured a caste certificate from the Sub-Divisional Officer on 31st December, 2001 and on that basis he contested the election to the Municipal Council of Satara from the reserved constituency for the schedule tribes and succeeded therein. The Caste Scrutiny

Committee cancelled the caste certificate issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer giving rise to the writ proceeding out of which this appeal has arisen.

- 3. The materials on record indicate that the appellant had filed an affidavit in Suit No.851 of 2000 before the Small Causes Court, Bombay stating that he has a resident of Bombay since been **1942.** Learned counsel for the appellant denies the said fact and categorically asserts that no such affidavit had been filed. that is correct, the proper remedy for the remedy would have been to move the High Court seeking review of the order.
- 4. Be that as it may, there is yet another aspect of the matter. In the School Leaving Certificate issued by Mohamadiva High School, Bombay the date of

birth of the appellant is shown 15th June, 1948 and the place of birth is Bombay. Ιt also mentioned therein that is the appellant had his education also at Bombay. belie the statement These facts in certificate that has caste he been resident of Satara for last 15 years. These are the facts that were taken into account Scrutiny by the Caste Committee in cancelling the Caste Certificate issued to the appellant by the Sub-Divisional Officer on 31st December, 2001.

If on a consideration of the above, 5. the High Court took the view that there is reliable material to prove that appellant belongs Shikalgar to the community which is a nomadic tribe and, therefore, the Scrutiny Committee iustified in cancelling the certificate we do not see how any infirmity

www.ecourtsindia.com

can be found in the aforesaid conclusion so as to justify any interference in this appeal. We, therefore, dismiss the appeal and affirm the order of the High Court under challenge.

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•						-	J (0	

																,	J	
	(S	Α	N	J	A	Y	K	Ί	S	Н	A	N	K	Α	U	L)

(K.M. JOSEPH)

NEW DELHI OCTOBER 10, 2018 ITEM NO.107 COURT NO.1

SECTION IX

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 4628/2006

SHAIKH MOHD. YUSUF MOHD. YAKUB

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

Date: 10-10-2018 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.M. JOSEPH

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Atul Yeshwant Chitale, Sr. Adv.

Mrs. Suchitra Atul Chitale, AOR

Ms. Shivangi Khanna, Adv.

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

[VINOD LAKHINA]

[ASHA SONI]

AR-cum-PS

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]