SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil)No.473/2005

SAMPURNA BEHRUA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for interim directions, exemption from filing O.T., permission to file additional documents, extension of time and office report)

Date: 10/04/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Divya Jyoti Jaipuriar, Adv.

Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UOI

Mr. B.B. Sawhney, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Sr. Adv.

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. M. Khairati, Adv.

Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Rawat, Adv.

Mr. A. Deb Kumar, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr. D. S. Mahra, AOR

Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

NALSA

Ms. Indu Malhotra, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Gagan Gupta, AOR

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, AOR

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, AOR

Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, AOR

Mr. Milind Kumar, AOR

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, AOR

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, AOR

Mr. P. V. Dinesh, AOR

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, AOR

Mr. T.M. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR

Mr. G. N. Reddy, AOR

Mr. Ratan Kumar Choudhuri, AOR

Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, AOR

Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, AOR

Mr. C. D. Singh, AOR

Mr. T. Harish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, AOR

Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR

Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR

Mr. B. S. Banthia, AOR

Mr. Sibo Sankar Mishra, AOR

M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associates

For States of

Arunachal Pradesh Mr. Anil Shrivastav, AOR

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Assam Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv.

for M/s Corporate Law Group

Bihar Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR

Ms. Shubhra Rai, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Shrivastava, Adv.

Chhattisgarh Mr. C.D. Singh, AAG

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR

Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.

Ms. Charudatta Mahindran, Adv.

Gujarat Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR

> Ms. Jesal Wahi, Adv. Ms. Puja Singh, Adv.

H.P. Mr. Suryanaryana Singh, AAG

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, AOR

Jharkhand Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mohd. Waquas, Adv.

Karnataka Mr. Parikshit Angdi, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Manipur Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Mr. Ashok K. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.

Madhya Pradesh Mr. C.D. Singh, AAG

Mr. Darpan Bhuyan, Adv.

Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv. Maharashtra

Mr. A.P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr. Pragyan Sharma, Adv. Mizoram

Mr. Heshu Kayina, Adv.

Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, AOR

Nagaland Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, AOR

Odisha Mr. S.P. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Mishra, Adv.

Punjab Mr. Ajay Bansal, AAG

Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv.

Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

Ms. Gaurav Yadava, Adv.

Sikkim Mr. A. Mariarputham, AAG

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.

Mr. K. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

for M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.

www.ecourtsindia.com

Tamil Nadu Mr. B. Balaji, AOR

Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma, Adv.

Ms. R. Shase, Adv.

Telangana Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv.

Tripura Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Shrivastava, Adv.

Uttar Pradesh Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.

Uttarakhand Mr. Pankaj Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR

West Bengal Mr. Anip Sachthey, AOR

Mr. Saakaar Sardana, Adv. Ms.Surabhi Sardana, Adv.

A&N Islands Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.

Mrs. G. Indira, AOR

Mr. Balasubramaniam, Adv.

Puducherry Mr.V.G. Pragasam, AOR

Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.

Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

For the time being, we are limiting the issue raised in this case to the functioning of Juvenile Justice Boards and immediately related issues.

We propose to take up some of the other issues on a later date.

In its written submissions, the Ministry of Women and Child Development has stated that it has developed an online mechanism for submitting quarterly reports.

The nature of this online mechanism is not mentioned in detail. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Ministry says that he will submit a detailed report and will also examine whether the monitoring mechanism can be improved. He also assures us that all the States and Union Territories will be impressed upon to submit their quarterly reports in terms of the monitoring mechanism.

5

We have also gone through the report prepared by NALSA in 2011 and the summary of the report prepared by NALSA in 2013 as also the response by the petitioner in 2013.

For the time being, we require the Member Secretary of NALSA to get in touch with the Member Secretary of the District Legal Services Authority/State Legal Services Authority in every State and Union Territory and obtain the following information as on 31.03.2015 in the form of a chart:

- (i) The number of Juvenile Justice Boards that are in existence as well as the vacancies in these Juvenile Justice Boards as on 31.03.2015;
- (ii) The number of pending inquiries with each Juvenile Justice Board as on 31.03.2015;
- (iii) The frequency of sittings of each Juvenile Justice Board, i.e., the number of days in a week the Juvenile Justice Board holds its sittings;

(iv) The approximate distance between each Juvenile
 Justice Board and the Observation Home;

6

- (v) The actual working number of legal aid lawyers on the panel of the District Legal Services Authority for each Juvenile Justice Board;
- (vi) The nature and duration of training given to each of these legal aid lawyers attached to the Juvenile Justice Board;
- (vii) The number of Probation Officers that are required in the District as per the understanding of the Member Secretary of the District Legal Services Authority;
- (viii) The nature and duration of training that has been given to the existing probation officers.

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of NALSA says that the information will be made available sometime in July, 2015.

the meanwhile, if the nature of training legal aid lawyers attached to programmes for Juvenile Justice Boards and Probation Officers has not been developed by NALSA, they may take urgent steps to do so after having wide and detailed consultations with the stake holders including members of the Juvenile Justice Boards, members of the Child Welfare Committees, the police officers, NGOs and members of Civil Society. A properly documented training programme and schedule

should be prepared, unless it has already been done. List the matter on $24^{\rm th}$ July, 2015.

7

(SANJAY KUMAR-I) COURT MASTER (TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER