

ITEM NO.27

COURT NO.8

SECTION XI-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(C) No(s). 8330/2019

THE STATE OF ODISHA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SARASWATI SAHU

Respondent(s)

(OFFICE REPORT ON DEFAULT IS TO BE LISTED ONLY IN SLP(C) NOS.
 14831-14842 AND 14863-14877 OF 2019.)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 14831-14842/2019 (XI-A)
 FOR APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION ON IA 122595/2019
 IA No. 122595/2019 - APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION)

SLP(C) No. 14863-14877/2019 (XI-A)
 FOR APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION ON IA 122606/2019
 IA No. 122606/2019 - APPLICATION FOR SUBSTITUTION)

Date : 01-12-2021 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI
 [IN CHAMBER]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sugam Mishra, Adv.
 Mr. V.K. Shukla, Adv.
 Mr. Prithvijoy Das, Adv.
 Ms. Swagoti Batchas, Adv.
 Mr. Satish Kumar, AOR

Mr. Ramendra Mohan Patnaik, AOR
 Mr. Santosh Kumar - I, AOR

Mr. Amit Kasera, Adv.
 Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, AOR

Mr. Raghunath Pathak, Adv.
 Mr. Kedar Nath Tripathy, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

None appears for the petitioners. Counsel for the respondent stated across the Bar that an application has been filed for substitution to bring on record the LRs of deceased respondent nos. 6 and 7 in SLP(C) No. 14831-14842/2019 and SLP(C) No. 14863-14877/2019. In fact, the applications are required to be filed by the petitioner. However, he prays for withdrawal of those applications.

In my considered opinion, the said applications may be treated as intimation to the petitioner for substitution of the L.R.s of deceased respondents. The petitioners shall move appropriate application for substitution within one month. In view of the said observations, the defects, as pointed out are not required to be taken note of and shall be ignored.

(JATINDER KAUR)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(MATHEW ABRAHAM)
COURT MASTER (NSH)