

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 361 OF 2013

VIDYA COLLEGE OF PROF. STUDIES & ANR. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

N.C.T.E & ORS. Respondent(s)

(With applications for directions and office report)

Date: 21/06/2013 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
[VACATION BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shree Prakash Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Janme Jay, Adv.
Mr. Naulendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.
For NCTE Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

For RR No. 3 Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The writ petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

[KALYANI GUPTA]		[SHARDA KAPOOR]
COURT MASTER		COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE.]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 361 OF 2013

VIDYA COLLEGE OF PROF. STUDIES & ANR. PETITIONERS
VERSUS

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR
TEACHER EDUCATION & ORS. RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners applied on 29th September, 2011 to the National Council for Teacher Education [for short 'the NCTE'] for Bachelor in Education Course for the academic session 2013-2014. In the formal order dated 15th April, 2013, the NCTE has granted recognition to the petitioner for the academic session 2014-2015 but not for the academic session 2012-2013 presumably because by order dated 13th December, 2012 in WP(C) NO. 276 of 2012 titled Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya v. State of U.P. & Ors., this Court had fixed the cut off date for grant of recognition as 3rd March of each year.

3. Hence, we allow this writ petition in terms of our order passed in Writ Petition (C) No.221 of 2013 titled M.R. Bharti College of Education v. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr. and direct that the recognition granted by the NCTE by formal order dated 15th April, 2013 will apply for the academic sessions 2013-2014 and 2014-2015.

We make it clear that this order has been passed in the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case and will not be treated as a precedent by the High Court to deviate from the time schedule fixed in the aforesaid judgment in Maa Vaishnodevi Mahila Mahavidyalaya (supra) and as modified vide order dated 18th June 2013 in IA No. 2 in Civil Appeal No. 9078 of 2012 titled Weltech College of Education & Anr. v. State of U.P. & Ors.

.....J
[A.K. PATNAIK]

.....J
[RANJAN GOGOI]

NEW DELHI
JUNE 21, 2013.