SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 17534/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-3-2016 in WP No. 5938/2016 passed by the High Court at Calcutta)

KAMAL DEY Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA 101941/2018 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING TP AND IA 101942/2018 - EX-PARTE STAY)

MA NOS.618-619/2018 IN SLP(C) No.24028-24029/2015 (IA NO.31129/2018 - FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS) (IA NO.43358/2018 - FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

Date: 02-08-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MOHAN M. SHANTANAGOUDAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Bijan Kumar Ghosh, AOR

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv. Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv. Mr. Saurav Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Bijan Kumar Ghosh, AOR

Mr. Sujoy Mondal, Adv. Mr. C.K. Ganguli, Adv. Mr. L.C. Agarwala, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 17534/2018:-

Delay condoned.

The Transfer Petition is dismissed.

Pending application filed in the matter also stands disposed of.

ww.ecourtsindia.com

MA NOS.618-619/2018 in SLP(C) No.24028-24029/2015:-

IA NO.43358/2018:-

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/applicant and the learned Senior counsel appearing for the respondents.

So far as the petitioner's main grievance is concerned, he states that he has made a representation dated 13-2-2017 to the Hon'ble Chief Justice of High Court at Calcutta seeking some documents which have so far not been provided to him.

In view of the above, We direct the Registrar General of the High Court at Calcutta to consider the representation of the petitioner and if the documents are available, the same may be given to the petitioner at his cost, as early as possible.

IA NO.31129/2018:-

Taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned Senior counsel appearing for the Registrar General, High Court at Calcutta as well as the learned counsel appearing for the public interest litigation, we thought it fit to allow this application in terms of prayer at Clause (a).

Interlocutory Applications are allowed and the Miscellaneous Applications are disposed of in the afore-stated terms.

(VISHAL ANAND)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANITA RANI AHUJA) COURT MASTER (NSH)