

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 11406/2011

M.P.COLLEGE BACHAO SANGHARSH SAMITI &ORS Appellant(s)
)

VERSUS

S.GURUDWARA PRABANDHAK COMMITTEE & ORS. Respondent(s)
s)

(with interim relief and office report)

Date : 13/03/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Niraj Gupta,Adv.
Mr.Kuber Boddh,adv.
Mr.Kartikey,adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv.
Mr.Satinder S.Gulati,adv.
Mrs. Kamaldeep Gulati,Adv.
Mr.Rajesh K.Singh,adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

le the the office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellants and
not the Ld.counsel for the respondents have failed to fi
statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by
letter dated 04.12.2012 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the
Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does
not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub
rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the
list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at
Signature Not Verified

the time of presentation of petition
Digitally signed by
Sushma Kumari Bajaj
Date: 2015.03.14

leave for seeking special
12:52:49 IST
Reason:
to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to

file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB