

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 82 OF 2015

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 2-4 OF 2015

(Applications for impleadment, directions & exemption from filing O.T.)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 5 & 6 OF 2016

(Applications for impleadment & directions)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 7 & 8 OF 2016

(Applications for impleadment & directions)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 9 OF 2016

(Application for filing additional documents)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 10 OF 2017

(Application for permission to file implead the applicant as petitioner)

Harijan Mahila (NGO) & Ors.

... Petitioner

Versus

Heera Lal Gupta

... Alleged Contemnor

AND

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 464 OF 2016

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 1 & 3 OF 2016

(Applications for filing additional documents)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2 OF 2016

(Application for impleadment)

Sudhir Kumar & Ors.

... Petitioners/Applicants

Versus

Ajay Kumar Singh & Ors.

... Alleged Contemnors

AND

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 27 OF 2016

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 1 - 12, 14, 15 & 17-20 OF 2016

(Applications for impleadments & directions)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 13 & 16 OF 2016

(Applications for filing additional documents)

Alok Kumar & Ors.

... Applicants

Versus

Ashis Goel & Anr.

... Alleged Contemnors

IN

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 631 OF 2004

(Under Article 32 of the Constitution of India)

Environmental & Consumer Protection Foundation

... Petitioner

Versus

Delhi Administration & Ors.

... Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The Contempt Petitions alongwith applications above-mentioned except I.A. Nos. 17 and 18 in CP (C) No. 27 of 2016 and I.A. No. 10 in CP (C) Nos. 82 of 2015 were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 28.11.2016 with Office Report dated 26.11.2016 when the Court was pleased to pass, inter alia, the following Order:-

“In pursuance of our order, the Committee has submitted an interim report, Paragraph 8 of the report relates to the general findings of the Committee. They are as follows :

xxx xxx xxx xxx xxx xxx xx

Having heard Mr. Ashok Gupta, learned senior counsel and the Chairman of the Committee, Mr. Gaurav Agarwal, member of the Committee, Mr. M.R. Shamshad, learned counsel for the State Uttar Pradesh and Mr. K. Parameshwar, learned counsel for the petitioner, it is directed that the Chief Secretary of the State of Uttar Pradesh shall see to it that the deficiencies which find mention in the report are complied with within four weeks from today. We have granted four weeks as we find that the deficiencies that have been pointed out are extremely serious and in such conditions, it will be almost impossible to impart education or to receive education. It is the obligation of the State to see that infrastructural facilities are provided so that proper education can be imparted. Building of schools for the sake of providing infrastructure and thereafter allowing them to exist leaving to fate is not a sign of proper governance in the field of education. Hence, the four weeks time, and the responsibility being given to the Chief Secretary of the State. The Chief Secretary, if required shall constitute a Committee which shall see that the deficiencies are removed with quite promptitude.

The Registry is directed to provide a copy of the report to Mr. Shamshad, learned counsel for the State who will do the needful in the matter.

Let the matter be listed in the second week of February, 2017.”

Pursuant to above Order, a certified copy of the above Order was sent to the Chief Secretary of the State of Uttar Pradesh and a copy of the Report of Committee was supplied to Mr. Shamshad, learned counsel for the State on 03.12.2016.

Contempt Petition No. (C) 27 of 2016

It is submitted that Dr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate has on 18.02.2017 filed applications for impleadment and directions on behalf of Ranjana Rani & Ors which have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 17 and 18. The same is placed in a separate volume with the Paper Books.

It is further submitted that Mr. Satyajeet Kumar, Advocate has on 09.03.2017 filed applications for impleadment and directions on behalf of Neelesh Kumar Shukla and 257 others which have been registered as Interlocutory Application Nos. 19 and 20 respectively. The same are being circulated before the Hon'ble Court in a separate volume.

Contempt Petition No. (C) 464 of 2016

It is submitted that Contempt Notice was issued to the alleged Contemnor Nos. 1 to 3 but unserved cover containing notice has been received in respect of alleged contemnor nos. 1 to 3 on 05.10.2016 with postal remarks "Nobody resides at this address".

It is further submitted that Mr. Satyajeet Kumar, Advocate has on 03.02.2017 furnished fresh official address to the alleged contemnor Nos. 1 to 3 but these were the same addresses in which contempt notice had already been issued. Hence service of Contempt Notice is incomplete upon alleged contemnors.

Contempt Petition No. (C) 82 of 2015

It is submitted that Ms. Jyoti Mehndiratta, Advocate has on 06.03.2017 filed an application for permission to implead the applicant i.e. Mohd. Faisal & Anr. as petitioners which has been registered as Interlocutory Application No10. The same is placed in a separate volume with the Paper Books.

It is lastly submitted that no further document has been filed, so far.

The Contempt Petitions alongwith applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report for Orders.

Dated this the 09th day of March, 2017.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar