```
&1
 NON-REPORTABLE
  IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
 CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
  CIVIL APPEAL NO. 777 OF 2017
  [@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 2261 OF 2017 ]
  [@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) \hat{a}¬ |\dotsCC NO. 1487 OF 2017 ]
  LAND ACQUISITION COLLECTOR (SOUTH)
  AND ANR. Appellant(s)
M/S HARMONY PROPERTIES PVT. LTD. & ORS. Respondent(s) WITH
 CIVIL APPEAL NO. 778 OF 2017
  [@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 2262 OF 2017 ]
 [@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) â7 | ...CC NO. 1550 OF 2017 ]
 WITH
 CIVIL APPEAL NO. 779 OF 2017
  [@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 2263 OF 2017 ]
  [@ SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) ⬠|...CC NO. 1558 OF 2017 ]
  JUDGMENT
  KURIAN, J.
  1. Delay condoned.
  2. Leave granted.
  3. The learned counsel for the appellants has
 brought to our notice that the appeals filed by
                                                                   the
 Delhi Development Authority have already been
 dismissed, however, with liberty to initiate fresh acquisition proceedings within a period of one year
 under The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency
 in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement
 Act, 2013.
  4. Subject
             to the liberty, as above,
                                                 these
                                                         appeals
  are also dismissed.
  5. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.
  No costs.
  .....J.
                [ KURIAN JOSEPH ]
  ......J.
                [ A.M.KHANWILKAR ]
 New Delhi;
  January 20, 2017.
  ITEM NO.36 + 58
                            COURT NO.7
                                                      SECTION XIV
                 SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                         RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
  Petition(s)
               for
                     Special Leave to Appeal (C).....CC
  1222/2017
  (Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19/04/2016
  in
     WPC No. 9548/2015 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At
                                                                                   New
  Delhi)
 DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
                                                     Petitioner(s)
                                   VERSUS
 NEERAJ JAIN AND ORS.
                                                     Respondent(s)
  (with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
  With
  SLP (C)....CC No. 1482 of 2017
  (with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling
  SLP and office report)
  SLP (C)....CC No. 1487 of 2017
  (with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
  SLP (C)....CC No. 1527 of 2017
  (with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling
(with appln. (s) for c SLP and office report) SLP (C)....CC No. 155 (with appln. (s) for c SLP (C)....CC No. 155
 SLP (C).....CC No. 1550 of 2017
 (with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
 SLP (C).....CC No. 1558 of 2017
```

www.ecourtsindia.com

```
(with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
SLP (C).....CC No. 1659 of 2017
(with appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)
Date: 20/01/2017 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
          HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
          HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR
For Petitioner(s) Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv. Mr. Rahul Bhatia, Adv.
 Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.
 Ms. Monika, Adv.
 Mr. Sukrit R. Kapoor, Adv.
Ms. Garima Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.
For Respondent(s)
UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
                          ORDER
SLP (C).....CC No.
                        1222 of 2017
Delay condoned.
It is submitted that this is a case of subsequent purchaser.
In view of the above, issue notice.
Tag with SLP (C) No. 20511 of 2008.
SLP (C).....CC No. 1482 of 2017
The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of
certain errors in the Judgment with regard
                                                      to
                                                             description
property, she
                 seeks
                        leave to withdraw this
                                                        Special Leave
                                                                         Petition
with liberty to file Review Petition before the High Court.
Permission is granted with the above liberty.
The
      Special Leave Petition is, accordingly,
                                                       dismissed
withdrawn.
                                                 Review
It is made
                clear
                        that
                               in case
                                           the
                                                         Petition
                                                                    is
                                                                         filed
                       from
         thirty
                days
                               today before
                                                the High
                                                            Court,
within
                                                                    the
                                                                                  may
not be dismissed on the ground of delay.
SLP (C)....CC No. 1487 of 2017, SLP (C)....CC No. 1550 of 2017,
SLP (C)....CC No. 1558 of 2017
Delay condoned.
Leave granted.
The
    appeals
                     dismissed
                                in
                                      terms
                                              οf
                                                   the
                                                         signed
                are
non-reportable Judgment
Pending interlocutory applications, if any, stand disposed of.
SLP (C)....CC No. 1527 of 2017
  Delay condoned.
It is submitted that this is a case of subsequent purchaser.
 In view of the above, issue notice.
SLP (C).....CC No. 1659 of 2017
Delay condoned.
        submitted
Ιt
     is
                    that
                           the possession could not
                                                            be
                                                                taken
account of operation of stay.
In view of the above, issue notice.
(Jayant Kumar Arora)
```

Court Master (Renu Diwan)
Assistant Registrar
(Signed non-reportable Judgment is placed on the file)