

ITEM NO.9

COURT NO.3

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).90/2016

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27/02/2015 in CRLRP No.16/2008 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi)

ARINDAM CHAUDHURI AND ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S. TATA MOTORS AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With interim relief and office report)

Date : 13/04/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPANFor Petitioner(s) Mr.Arunabh Chowdhury, Adv.
Mr.Vaibhav Tomar, Adv.
Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr.Sidharth Luthra, Sr.Adv.
Mr.R.N.Karanjawala, Adv.
Mr.Sandeep Kapur, Adv.
Mr.Vivek Suri, Adv.
Mr.Ravi Sharma, Adv.
Ms.Apoorva Pandey, Adv.
Mrs.Manik Karanjawala, Adv.
For M/s. Karanjawala & Co., Adv.Upon hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Issue notice.

Mrs.Manik Karanjawala, learned counsel, accepts notice on
behalf of respondent No.1.Liberty is granted to the learned counsel for the
petitioners to serve respondent No.2 through the standing counsel
representing the NCT of Delhi in this Court.

Learned counsel for the rival parties are agreed that for disposal of the present controversy, it is not necessary to effect service on respondent Nos.3 and 4, as the same are only proforma respondents. Service on respondent Nos.3 and 4 is accordingly dispensed with.

Liberty is granted to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to file their counter affidavits within two weeks from today.

List thereafter.

Further proceedings shall remain stayed till further orders.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER