```
www.ecourtsinc
```

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.cc

```
www.ecourtsindia.a
```

p'ITEM NO.7 REGISTRAR COURT. 2 SECTION PIL(W) S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. M V RAMESH

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 243/2012

SWAJAN & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for directions and exemption from filing O.T. and intervention and permission to file lengthy list of dates)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 916/2014

(With appln.(s) for deletion of respondents and appln.(s) for stay and appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and Office Report)

Date : 20/09/2016 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Debojit Borkakati,Adv. Mr. Shuvodeep Roy,Adv.

Mr.D.K.Mishra, Adv.

Mrs.Sarla Chandra, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms.Asiya Khan,Adv.

Mr. Krishnayan Sen, Adv.

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

Ms.Upma Shrivastava, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr.Sandeepan Pathak,Adv.

Mr. C. D. Singh, Adv.

Ms.Yugandhara P.Jha,Adv.

Mr. Kunal Verma, Adv.

Mr. Helal Uddin Choudhry, Adv.

Mr. Mansoor Ali, Adv.

⬠| .....2

ITEM NO.7

-2-

WC243/2012

Mr.Shikhar Garg, Adv.

Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. Vibhu Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash Mehrotra, Adv.

M/s Corporate Law Group, Adv.

Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

Ms.Mamta Singh, Adv.

Ms.Hemantika Wahi,Adv.

Mr.Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr.Hemant Gupta, adv.

Ms.Anil Katiyar,Adv.

Ms.Alka Sinha,Adv.

Mr.Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

Mr.Edward Belho.AAG

Ms.K.Enatoli Sema, Adv.

Mr.Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr.Subhro Sanyal, Adv.

Mr.Satish Kumar, adv.

Mr.Sanjay Kumar Visen, Adv.

Mr.K.V.Jagdishvaran,Adv.

Ms.G. Indira, Adv.

Mr.Pratap Venugopal, Adv.

M/s K.J.John & Co.,Adv.

Mr.Mrinal Kanti Mandal, adv.

Mr.Parijat Sinha, Adv.

Ms.Aruna Mathur, Adv.

Ms.Anuradha Arputham, Adv.

Ms.Shubhada P.Adv.

Mr. Nishant Ramakantrao Katneshwarkar

```
a-
â-
TT
```

⬠|.....3

ITEM NO.7

-3- WC243/2012 UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

ORDER

W.P.(c) No.243/2012

Service is complete. The respondent Nos.1,2,5,6,12-15, 17 & 19

have already filed the counter affidavit. The other respondents have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

W.P.(C) No. 916/2014

The respondent Nos.15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23-25, 27 & 37 have already filed the counter affidavit.

The respondent Nos.1-3, 5, 9, 11, 18, 22, 28, 35 & 36 have failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules.

Service of notice is complete on the respondent

Nos.4,6,7,10,12-14, 26, 30,31,33,34 & 38 by post, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf

entered appearance on their behalf.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the unserved respondent Nos.8, 19, 29, 32 shall be taken by the Ld.counsel for the petitioner within a period of three weeks. Dasti

in addition is permitted to be served through the Ld.Standing counsel representing the State of Arunachal Pradesh, Maharashtra,

 ${\tt Karnataka~\&~Himachal~Pradesh~respectively.}$ 

Ld.counsel for the State of Gujarat (R-30) has asked for copy of pleadings, but as per records, he has been served by registered

post which shows that copy must have been received by him, but he has failed to file vakalatnama and counter affidavit.

List the matter again on 26.10.2016.

(M V RAMESH) Registrar

SB