

ITEM NO.36

COURT NO.9

SECTION XIV

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s).11949/2022**

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-08-2017 in WPC No.5076/2014 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At New Delhi)

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SATBIR SINGH &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.77213/2022-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.77217/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 77213/2022 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 77217/2022 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 09-10-2023 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sanjiv Sen, Sr. Adv.  
 Ms. Anjali Singh, Adv.  
 Ms. Radha Gupta, Adv.  
 Mr. Mohan Lal Sharma, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
 O R D E R

Mr. Sanjiv Sen, learned Senior Counsel at the very outset points out how the ratio in *Indore Development Authority vs. Shailendra* reported in 2018 3 SCC 412 should operate in matters of lapsed land acquisition proceedings, under Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013. Two divergent views are

taken by two different Benches of this Court. The issue was accordingly referred for adjudication by a three-Judge Bench. The counsel would point out that the three-Judge Bench is in seisin of the matter in Civil Appeal No.11857 of 2016 (Government of NCT of Delhi Through Secretary, Land And Building Department Vs. M/S. K.L. Rathi Steels Ltd) and other connected matters.

Noting the above submission, the matter stands adjourned by a period of eight weeks.

**[DEEPAK JOSHI]**  
**COURT MASTER**

**[KAMLESH RAWAT]**  
**ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**