

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.257 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.844 of 2024)
[Diary No.13179/2020]

THE HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
(NOW KNOWN AS HARYANA SHEHRI VIKAS
PRADHIKARAN) & ANR.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SUDHA SINGHAL & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO.258 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.845 of 2024)
[Diary No.16653/2020]

CIVIL APPEAL NO.259 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.846 of 2024)
[Diary No.16657/2020]

CIVIL APPEAL NO.260 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.847 of 2024)
[Diary No.16658/2020]

CIVIL APPEAL NO.261 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.848 of 2024)
[Diary No.16662/2020]

CIVIL APPEAL NO.262 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.849 of 2024)
[Diary No.16664/2020]

CIVIL APPEAL NO.263 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.850 of 2024)
[Diary No.16667/2020]

CIVIL APPEAL NO.264 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.851 of 2024)
[Diary No.16671/2020]

CIVIL APPEAL NO.265 OF 2024
(Arising out of SLP(Civil) No.852 of 2024)
[Diary No.19838/2020]

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.

3. The Haryana Urban Development Authority, i.e., Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, has preferred these appeals against the judgment dated 30.07.2019 passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh. This Court, on 08.10.2020, had dismissed some of the special leave petitions on account of inordinate delay. However, in the appeals arising out of special leave petitions, which are listed today, a limited notice was issued to explore whether the respondents can be allotted plots under the oustees policy in the residential area other than Sector 13 at Kurukshetra.

4. When these matters came up for further hearing on 20.10.2023, it was stated on behalf of the State of Haryana that the appellant (HSVP) has, in the meantime, carved out some plots in Sector 13, Kurukshetra, where oustees will be allotted plots as per the judgment of the Civil Court, duly upheld by the High Court. Consequently, the appellant was granted time to issue the requisite advertisement.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant (HSVP) states that the advertisement has now been issued, inviting applications from the oustees for the allotment of plots in Sector 13, Urban State, Kurukshetra.

6. In this view of the matter, we are satisfied that the order dated 08.10.2020, whereby limited notice was issued, stands complied with. No further directions are required to be issued. The appellant/Authority will

complete the process of allotment at the earliest and preferably within six months. The decree passed by the Civil Court, as affirmed by the High Court, stands substituted by the present order.

7. The civil appeals are, accordingly, disposed of as having become infructuous.

.....J.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(K.V. VISWANATHAN)

New Delhi;
January 08, 2024

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL)..... Diary No(s).13179/2020

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 30-07-2019 in RSA No.692/2014 passed by the High Court Of Punjab & Haryana At Chandigarh)

THE HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (NOW KNOWN AS HARYANA SHEHRI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN) & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SUDHA SINGHAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 80958/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

WITH

Diary No(s). 16653/2020 (IV-B)

(IA No. 79963/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Diary No(s). 16657/2020 (IV-B)

(IA No. 79896/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Diary No(s). 16658/2020 (IV-B)

(IA No. 80062/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Diary No(s). 16662/2020 (IV-B)

(IA No. 80564/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Diary No(s). 16664/2020 (IV-B)

(IA No. 80208/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Diary No(s). 16667/2020 (IV-B)

(IA No. 82447/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Diary No(s). 16671/2020 (IV-B)

(IA No. 79997/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Diary No(s). 19838/2020 (IV-B)

(IA No. 95887/2020 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING)

Date : 08-01-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN**

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. A.A.G.
Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR
Ms. Suvarna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ram Chandra, Adv.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. A.A.G.
Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, AOR
Mr. Nikunj Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Himanshi Shakya, Adv.
Ms. Anjali Kumari, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sachin Jain, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Jain, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Vishal, Adv.
Mrs. Subhadra Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Dwivedi, AOR

Mr. Manoj Swarup, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Meelmani Pant, Adv.
Mr. Neelmani Pant, Adv.
Ms. Apoorva Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Swarup, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. Leave granted.
3. The appeals are disposed of as infructuous in terms of the signed order.
4. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ARJUN BISHT)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(PREETHI T.C.)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(signed order is placed on the file)