î   ITEM NO.22   COURT NO.11   SECTION XIIA

                    S U P R E M E C O U R T O F   I N D I A
                           RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

                     Civil Appeal   No(s).4731-4732/2010

   T.RAVI & ANR   Appellant(s)

                                       VERSUS

   B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.   Respondent(s)

   (Office report on default and application for substitution)

   Date : 10/11/2014 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

   CORAM :   HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA
                                [IN CHAMBER]

   For Appellant(s)   M/s. Lawyer S Knit & Co,Adv.

   For Respondent(s)   Mr. D. Bharat Kumar, Adv.
                                  Mr. T. Baskar Gowtham, Adv.
                                  Mr. Abhijit Sengupta,Adv.
                                  Mr. A. Venayagam Balan,Adv.
                                  Mr. B. K. Satija,Adv.
                                  Mrs. Anjani Aiyagari,Adv.
                                  Ms. Rekha Pandey,Adv.

                                  Ms. Uttara Babbar,Adv.

                     UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
                                    O R D E R

               The appeals against Respondent No.26 in Civil Appeal No.4731
   and Respondent No.34 in Civil Appeal No.3742 of 2010 are dismissed
   in terms if the signed order.

               Post   the   matter   before   appropriate   Bench   for   appropriate
   orders.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Gulshan Kumar Arora
                         (Gulshan Kumar Arora)   (Mala Kumari Sharma)
                           Court Master   Court Master
Date: 2014.11.13
16:30:57 IST
Reason:
                         IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

                         CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

                       CIVIL APPEAL NOS.4731-4732 OF 2010

T.RAVI & ANR   Appellant(s)

                                   VERSUS

B.CHINNA NARASIMHA & ORS.   Respondent(s)

                                  O R D E R

Learned counsel for the appellant is not present.   Learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 are present.

Application to bring the LRs of Respondent No.26 in Civil Appeal No.4731 of 2010 and Respondent No.34 in Civil Appeal Nos.4732 of 2010 is filed along with an application for condonation of delay in filing the application for substitution. But the application for substitution is not maintainable without an application for setting aside the abatement.

Hence, the appeals against Respondent No.26 in Civil Appeal No.4731 of 2010 and Respondent No.34 in Civil Appeal No.3742 of 2010 are dismissed.

Post the matter before an appropriate Bench for appropriate orders.

<div align="right">
......................,J.
(V. Gopala Gowda)
</div>

New Delhi
November 10, 2014.