SUPREME COURTOF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6536-6537 OF 2010

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

DAP CONTAINERS PVT LTD AND ORS.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

B. CHINNA NARASIMHA AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for compromise, impleadment and office report ))

Date: 19/02/2014 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr.S.Madhusudhan Babu, adv.

Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Ld.counsel for the appellant in Civil Appeal No.6537/10 is directed to take fresh steps against unserved respondent Nos. 1,2 and 6-11.

Regarding I.A.No.4 the office has indicated that the appellant has remitted only six spare copy and process fee of Rs.60/- only  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ hence deficit. Ld.counsel for the appellant points out that respondent Nos.8-86 have been deleted and out of the 7 remaining respondents, respondent No.1 has already been served.

Contd....2/-

ITEM NO.33

-2-

It is further submitted that thereby only six respondents need only be served, they are respondent Nos. 2-7.

Further, it is submitted that since SLP copy has already been served, he need only to take steps by furnishing the I.A.No.4 alone.

However on a verification of records, it is seen that in Civil Appeal No.6536/2010, service is complete. Service is incomplete on respondent Nos. 1,2 and 6 to 11 in Appeal No.6537/2010. Hence there are 8 respondents who remain to be served. Hence, appellant to remit the process fee and spare copy for 8 respondents. Take steps as above within four weeks as a last chance.

List the matter on 25.03.2014.

(Sunil Thomas) Registrar