

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.310 OF 1996

PRAKASH SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

U.O.I. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For directions with office report)

With Writ Petition (C) No.417 of 2010

Date: 10/01/2011 These Matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. PANICKER RADHAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. Adv. (A.C.)
Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Pranav Sachdeva, Adv.

Dr. Ashok Dhamija, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.
Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv.
Mr. H.K. Puri, Adv.
Mr. Akshat Jain, Adv.

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Mr. Ashok, Adv. Genl.
Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Ms. Kavita Wadia, Adv.

....2/-

- 2 -

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Malviya, Adv.

Mr. Vikrant S. Bais, Adv.
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. S. Bhowmick, Adv.
Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.

Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

Mr. Kamendra Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.
Ms. Pinky Behera, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, Adv.

Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.
for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Mohanprasad Meharia, Adv.
for M/s. S. Narain & Co., Advs.

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Pragyan P. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Lodha, Adv.
Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

....3/-

- 3 -

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, SG.
Mr. Mohan Jain, ASG.
Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.
Mr. R.S. Nagar, Adv.
Ms. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
Mr. Deepak Jain, Adv.
Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.
Ms. Yogita Yadav, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Rawat, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv.
Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. T.V. George, Adv.

Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, Adv.

Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Ashoka Kumar Thakur, Adv.
Mr. M.P. Jha, Adv.

Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure, Adv.

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. L.N. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. U.U. Lalit, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shail Kumar Dwivedi, Addl. Adv. Genl.
Mr. Gunnam Venkateswara Rao, Adv.
Mr. Ardhendumauli K. Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Manoj Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Manoj K. Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Vandana Mishra, Adv.

....4/-

- 4 -

Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. P. Prasanth, Adv.
Mr. V. Vasudevan, Adv.

Mr. Jana Kalyan Das, Adv.

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.
Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.
Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. Manjit Singh, Addl. AG.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Edward Belho, Adv.
Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay V. Kharde, Adv.
Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, AAG, Rajasthan
Mr. D.K. Devesh, Adv.
Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.

Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv.
Mr. Ramesh Allanki, Adv.

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.
Ms. Megha Gour

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Mr. Sidhanth Goel, Adv.
Ms. Renu Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Parikh, Adv.
Mr. Anish R. Shah, Adv.
Ms. Mamta Saxena, Adv.
Mr. A.N. Singh, Adv.
Ms. Soumya Ray, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

Mr. P.V. Dinesh, Adv.
Ms. Sindhu T.P., Adv.

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.
Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

Mr. G.N. Reddy, Adv.
Mr. V. Pattabhiram, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

As regards the constitution of State Security Commission, we find that State of Uttar Pradesh opted for Sorabjee Model in which inclusion of the serving/retired Judge is not there. In order to make the Commission independent, we suggested to the learned counsel appearing for the State of Uttar Pradesh to incorporate the serving/retired Judge into the State Security Commission. Learned counsel for the State of Uttar Pradesh, on instructions, states that to fall in line with other States, the State of Uttar Pradesh will have no objection to the induction of serving/retired Judge in the State Security Commission based on the model suggested by Sorabjee Committee. Place these matters on 17th January, 2011. Mr. N. Shankar, junior to the learned Amicus Curiae would be entitled to take inspection of the review petitions filed by the various State Governments in this case in the course of the week. Learned Amicus Curiae is required to prepare a chart and submit to this Court indicating the points taken in the review petitions concerning empanelment and tenure for the post of DGP.

[Alka Dudeja]
A.R. -cum-P.S.

[Madhu Saxena]
Assistant Registrar