ITEM NO.49

COURT NO.11

SECTION II

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 4391/2017

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-05-2017 in CRMWP No. 6990/2017 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

REHANA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 43564/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT; IA No. 43566/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 4400/2017 (II-B)

(IA No. 43704/2017 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date: 04-08-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KAROL

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anjani Kumar Mishra, AOR

Mr. Ansar Ahmad Chaudhary, AOR

Ms. Shehla Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Md. Anas Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Jazib Siddiqui, Adv.

Mr. Mohd. Anas Khan, Adv.

Mr. Mohammad Asim Khan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tyagi, AOR

Mr. K.N. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Tomar, Adv.

Mr. Prabhat Kumar Rai, Adv.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar Bhatia, AOR

Mr. Krishnam Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Param Kumar Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Shankar Divate, AOR

Mr. L B Rai, Adv.

Mr. Kartik Rai, Adv.

Mr. Arun Upadhyay, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

By the impugned order, pre-arrest bail was granted which was operative only till the submission of the charge-sheet. Now, the charge-sheet has already been filed on 13.08.2017. Hence, the impugned order has worked itself out. Hence, no case for interference is made out.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner makes a grievance that respondent nos.3 to 5 are not appearing before the Trial Court. If that be so, it will be for the Trial Court to take appropriate steps.

The Special Leave Petitions disposed of accordingly.

Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(INDU MARWAH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)