

ITEM NO.31

COURT NO.8

SECTION II

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 5848/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-02-2023 in A482 No. 15394/2021 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Allahabad)

MOHIT UPADHYAY &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.93757/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. )

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 5795/2023 (II)

(FOR FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. ON IA 93030/2023

IA No. 93030/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Diary No(s). 15080/2023 (II)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.125350/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.125348/2023-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS)

Diary No(s). 15015/2023 (II)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.127461/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.127460/2023-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS)

SLP(Crl) No. 8655/2023 (II)

( IA No.135885/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 24-07-2023 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR**

**For Petitioner(s)** Mr. A D N Rao, Sr. Adv.  
 Mr. Annam Venkatesh, AOR  
 Mr. Rahul Mishra, Adv.  
 Mr. Dhuli Shiva Shankar, Adv.  
 Ms. Agrimaa Singh, Adv.  
 Ms. Ritumbhara Garg, Adv.

**For Respondent(s)**

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

In SLP(Crl.) Nos. 5848 and 5795 of 2023 and Diary Nos. 15080 and 15015 of 2023

Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioners.

This Court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned orders.

The special leave petitions are, accordingly, dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

In SLP(Crl.) No. 8655 of 2023

Additionally, the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners argued that the anticipatory bail application was rejected only on the ground that the petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. was dismissed.

The argument is incorrect because plain reading of Paras 12-13 reveal that the Court took into consideration all circumstances as well as the fact that petition under Section 482 was dismissed.

In these circumstances, that ground too is not available. This special leave petition is also dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(POOJA SHARMA)  
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(BEENA JOLLY)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)