

ITEM NO.22

Court 3 (Video Conferencing)

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.) No(s). 4299/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 21-03-2018 in CONCR No. 03/2017 passed by the High Court Of M.p Principal Seat At Jabalpur)

GAJENDRA SINGH GURJAR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF M.P ITS REGISTRAR GENERAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.72125/2018-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.72123/2018-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 72125/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 72123/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

WITH

SLP(Cr1) No. 4289/2018 (II-A)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.71897/2018-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.71898/2018-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 71897/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 71898/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 03-12-2021 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sanjay K. Agrawal, AOR
Mr. Piyush Bhatnagar, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Kumar Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Ankita Khare, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Nema, Adv.
Mr. Pratyush Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Ramsakha Kushwaha, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR
Ms. Sagun Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Having considered the rival submission, we decline to interfere in these special leave petitions.

However, the limited clarification/modification of the impugned judgment and order, as may be necessary, in light of the submissions made is to frame charge also under Section 2(c)(i) of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, additionally, which can be dealt with by the High Court after evidence is recorded in terms of the impugned judgment and order.

It will be open to the petitioner(s) to urge before the High Court, after the evidence is recorded, that charge(s) under concerned provision is not attracted on the basis of such evidence. In that regard, all contentions available to both sides are left.

The special leave petitions are disposed of accordingly.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SINGH)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIDYA NEGI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)