SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 1540/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 15-02-2022 in CRLP No. 9825/2021 passed by the High Court For The State Of Telangana At Hyderabad)

KAMMA SRINIVAS RAO

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.24523/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT) $\,$

WITH

SLP(Crl) No. 1594/2022 (II)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.25929/2022-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP(Crl) No. 1605/2022 (II)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.25246/2022-PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES and IA No.25970/2022-APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION)

Date: 04-04-2022 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEET SARAN HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI

For Petitioner(S)

Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Advocate

Mr. Siddharth Aggarwal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Krishna Dev Jagarlamudi, Advocate

Mr. Simon Benjamin, Advocate

Mr. N. Sai Kaushal, Advocate

Mr. Abhinav Sekhri, Advocate

Mr. Surbhit Nandan, Advocate

Mr. Harsh Yadav, Advocate

Ms. Inderdeep Kaur Raina, Advocate

Mr. Anurag Singh, Advocate

Mr. Mahesh Kumar, Advocate

Ms. Devika Khanna, adv

Ms. Priyanka Kalra, Adv

Mr. Angaj G., Adv.

Mrs. V D Khanna, Aor.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Parmatma Singh, Aor

2

Mr. Mayank Jain, Adv.

Mr. Parmatma Singh, AOR

Mr. Madhur Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG

Mr. K.M. Nataraj, ASG

Mr. M.K.Maroria AOR

Mr. Annam Venkatesh Adv

Mr. Digvijay Dam Adv

Mr. Kanu Agarwal Adv

Mr. Mayank pandey Adv

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Ms. Aishwaruya Bhati, Asg

Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker , Adv.

Mr. S.S.Rebello, Adv.

Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Adv.

Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.

Ms. Swarupama Chaturvedi, Adv.

Ms. Suhashini Sen, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned SG has stated that the main matter relating to PMLA has been finally heard by a Special Bench of three Judges in which hearing has been concluded and judgment reserved. Learned SG also stated that the question involved in this petition is included in the matter in which judgment has been reserved. He also states that a request has been made to the Registry of this Court for placing the matter before the Hon'ble Chief Justice of India for passing suitable order on the administrative side.

M/s. Mukul Rohatgi and Siddharth Luthra, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners oppose the prayer to list this petition before the Special Bench. However, since the question of listing has already been taken up with the Registry of this Court and in the similar matters where identical question is

involved has been finally heard and judgment has been reserved, we are not inclined to pass any order in this matter on merits.

3

Let the respondent/Union of India pursue the matter before the Registry.

List after appropriate orders are passed by the Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India.

The undertaking given by the respondents recorded in order dated 21.03.2022 shall continue to operate till the next date.

(ARJUN BISHT) (COURT MASTER (SH)

(PRADEEP KUMAR) (BRANCH OFFICER) (ASHWANI THAKUR) AR-CUM-PS