S UP R E M E C O UR T OF I N D I A R EC O R D OF P R OC E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).1 4 3 7 6 / 2 0 0 5

(From the judgement and order dated 1 5 / 0 3 / 2 0 0 5 in SA No. 2 4 9 / 1 9 9 4 (D) of The HIGH COUR T OF K E R A L A AT E R N A K U L A M)

P.AM B U J A K S H Y AMMA

Petitioner(s)

VE R S U S

K. KRISHNAPILLAI& ORS.

Respondent(s)

(appln. for substitution of deceased R.Nos. 4 & 6 with office report dt.2 7. 0 9 . 2 0 0 7)

Date: 1 5 / 1 1 / 2 0 0 7 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'B L E MR. JUS T I C E H.K. S E M A (IN CHAM B E R)

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. C.K. Sasi, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.

Mr. P.V. Dinesh ,Adv

Mr. P.S. S udheer ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

OR DER

Inordinate delay of 2 0 9 1 / 1 4 3 4 days in filing substitution

application not been explained properly. Application

delay is rejected. Consequently, substitition condonation

application is dismissed.

I.A.No.2 is dismissed.

(PA W A N KUMA R) COUR T MAS T E R (NE E R U BA L A VI J) COUR T MAS T E R

fo