SUPREME COURT O F INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Crl.M.P. No.10939/2013 in and Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No...../2013 (Crl.M.P. No.10939/2013)

(From the judgement and order dated 22/03/2013 in CRLWP No.107/2012 of The HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT PANAJI)

V.M. SALGOCAR & BROTHER PVT LTD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

KASHINATH JAIRAM SHETYE & ORS

Respondent(s)

(For permission to file SLP, ex-parte stay and office report)

With S.L.P. (Crl.) No...../2013 (Crl.M.P. No.12021/2013) (For permission to file SLP, ex-parte stay and office report)

S.L.P. (Crl.) No...../2013 (Crl.M.P. No.13373/2013) (For permission to file SLP, ex-parte stay and office report)

S.L.P. (Crl.) No...../2013 (Crl.M.P. No.13421/2013) (For permission to file SLP, ex-parte stay and office report)

S.L.P. (Crl.) No...../2013 (Crl.M.P. No.13426/2013) (For permission to file SLP, ex-parte stay and office report)

S.L.P. (Crl.) No...../2013 (Crl.M.P. No.13520/2013) (For permission to file SLP, ex-parte stay and office report)

Date: 08/07/2013 These Matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

.ecourtsindia.com

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAMAJIT SEN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Pratap Venugopal,Adv. Ms. Surekha Raman

Ms. Surekha Raman, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Anuj Sarma, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Nair, Adv.

for M/s. K.J. John & Co., Advs.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Colin Gonsalves,Sr.Adv. No.1

...2/-

- 2 -

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

> Permission to file special leave petitions is granted. Issue notice.

Since Mr. Colin Gonsalves, learned senior advocate, represents the Respondent No.1, service of notice on the said respondent is dispensed with. The respondent will be entitled to file its counter affidavit to the special leave petitions within two weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within a week thereafter.

It appears that S.L.P. (Crl.) No.4033 of 2013 against the

same judgement is pending in this Court. In addition, a writ petition, being W.P. (C) No.435 of 2012, is said to be pending before the Forest Bench, which also is to some extent concerned with the M.B. Shah Commission Report.

Having regard to the above, let this matter be heard along with S.L.P. (Crl.) No.4033 of 2013 at an early date. Liberty is given to the parties to mention the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ matter for early hearing once the pleadings are complete. The parties will also be at liberty to mention the matter before the Forest Bench for similar directions.

In the meantime, the impugned directions of the High Court shall remain stayed.

[T.I. Rajput] Deputy Registrar

[Juginder Kaur] Assistant Registrar