

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 161/2014

K. RAGHU RAMAKRISHNA RAJU & ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for impleadment and office report)

WITH

W.P. (C) No. 984/2013

W.P. (C) No. 164/2014

W.P. (C) No. 165/2014

W.P. (C) No. 166/2014

W.P. (C) No. 203/2014

W.P. (C) No. 207/2014

W.P. (C) No. 210/2014

W.P. (C) No. 211/2014

W.P. (C) No. 215/2014

W.P. (C) No. 217/2014

W.P. (C) No. 218/2014

W.P. (C) No. 219/2014

W.P. (C) No. 220/2014

W.P. (C) No. 222/2014

W.P. (C) No. 223/2014

W.P. (C) No. 224/2014

W.P. (C) No. 225/2014

W.P. (C) No. 228/2014

W.P. (C) No. 230/2014

W.P. (C) No. 232/2014

W.P. (C) No. 271/2014

W.P. (C) No. 275/2014

W.P. (C) No. 289/2014

W.P. (C) No. 306/2014

W.P. (C) No. 344/2014

W.P. (C) No. 350/2014

W.P. (C) No. 407/2014

W.P. (C) No. 426/2014

W.P. (C) No. 428/2014

W.P. (C) No. 456/2014

W.P. (C) No. 470/2014

W.P. (C) No. 507/2014

W.P. (C) No. 701/2014

W.P. (C) No. 720/2014

W.P. (C) No. 742/2014
SLP(C) No. 12672/2014
W.P. (C) No. 804/2014
W.P. (C) No. 1053/2014
(With office report)

Date : 07/05/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. N. Rajaraman, Adv.
Mr. Brajesh Jha, adv.
Mr. Manoj C. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Sunder Khatri, adv.
Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Adv.
Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, Adv.

Mr. Karri Venkata Reddy, adv.
Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Vundavelli Arun Kumar
Petitioner-in-person, Adv.
Mr. S. K. Sabharwal, Adv.

Mr. Syed Ahmad Nagoi, adv.
Mr. Ganni Krishna, adv.
Mr. A. Ramesh, adv.
Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, Adv.

Mr. Prabhakar Parnam, adv.
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

Ms. Neelam Jain, adv.
Mr. Annam D. N. Rao, Adv.
Mr. M. Vijaya Bhaskar, Adv.
Mr. N. M. Popli, adv.
Mr. R. C. Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Daniel George, adv.
Mr. R. Nedumaran, Adv.
Mr. Vimal Chandra S. Dave, Adv.
Mr. C. Mukund, adv.
Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Adv.
Mr. P. K. Manohar, Adv.

Mr. Dharendra Kr. Mishra, adv.
Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.

Mr.Shwetank Sailakwal,adv.
Mr. Vipin Kumar Jai,Adv.
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Dahiya,Adv.
Mr. V. K. Monga,Adv.
Mrs. K. Sarada Devi,Adv.
Mr. P. Vinay Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Caveator-in-person,Adv.
Ms. C. K. Sucharita,Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.
Ms.Sudha Pal,adv.

Mr.Amit Sharma,adv.
Mr.Dipesh Sinha,adv.

Ms.Richa Relhan,adv.
Mr.Sumeet Lal,adv.

Mr.Anip Sachthey,adv.
Ms.Surabhi Sardana,adv.

Ms.Vartika S.Walia,adv.
M/s Corporate Law Group,adv.

Mr.Som Raj Choudhary,adv.
Mr.Abhisth Kumar,adv.

Mr.Sapam Biswajit Meitei,adv.
Mr.Ashok Kr.Singh,adv.

Mr.Udaya K.Sagar,adv.

Mr.Piyush Hans,adv.
Dr.Monika Gusain,adv.

Mr.Dhirendra Singh Parmar,adv.
Mr.Mishra Saurabh,adv.

Mr.Aditya Narayan Singh,adv.
Mr.Samir Ali Khan,adv.

Ms.E.R.Sumathy,adv.
Mr.Naga Srinivas Rao,adv.

Mr.Rajesh Srivastava,adv.
Mr.Raghvendra Pratap Singh,adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Writ Petition (C)No.166/2014

The office report indicates that service of notice is complete on the sole respondent, but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as and when the connected ones get ready.

Writ Petition(C) No.207/2014

Mr.Amit Sharma, Ld.counsel appears for the respondent No.6. Ld.counsel has stated that he has already filed the vakalatnama and the counter affidavit. Office shall verify the position and report accordingly. Ld.counsel for the respondent No.7 has stated that the copies of the pleadings have not been provided to him by the petitioners. Ld.counsel for the petitioners shall provide the copies of the pleadings to the Ld.counsel within a week's time and file proof. Ld.counsel shall file the counter affidavit within a period of four weeks thereafter. Service of notice is complete on the other respondents, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

Writ Petition(C) No.701 & 720/2014

Ld.counsel for the petitioners shall within a period of four weeks file the affidavit in proof of dasti service of notice already issued to respondents.

Writ Petition (C)No.742/2014

The office report indicates that service of notice is complete on the respondents, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as and when the connected ones get ready.

Writ Petition (C)No.804/2014

Ld.counsel for the respondents shall be at liberty to file the counter affidavit within a period of four weeks, whereafter no further extension shall be given.

.....5

SLP(C) No.12672/2014

Four weeks time as last chance is given to the served respondents to file the counter affidavit. Service of notice is complete on the other respondents, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf.

Ld.counsel for the petitioners shall within a period of four weeks comply with the terms of the order dated 20.01.2015 of this Court, whereafter no further extension shall be given.

Writ Petition © Nos.984/2013,164, 165,203, 210, 211, 215, 217, 218, 220, 222, 223, 224, 225, 230, 232, 271, 275, 289, 306, 34, 350, 407, 426, 428, 456, 470, 507, & 1053/2014

In all the matters numbered above, three weeks time as last chance is given to the Ld.counsel for the petitioners to take fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the unserved respondents. Dasti in addition is permitted to be served through the Ld.Standing counsel representing each State.

Mr.Som Raj Choudhary, Ld.counsel appears for the State of Orissa and Mr.Piyush Hans, Ld.counsel appears for the State of Haryana in W.P.(C) No.289/2014. Ld.counsel have stated that the copies of the pleadings have not been provided to them by the petitioner. Ld.counsel for the petitioners shall provide the copies of the pleadings to the Ld.counsel within a week's time and file proof. Ld.counsel shall file the counter affidavit within a period of four weeks thereafter.

List again on 26.08.2015.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB