## REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION XIV

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. SANJAY PARIHAR

Civil Appeal No(s). 790/2017

KHASI HILLS AUTONOMOUS DISTRICT COUNCIL

Appellant(s)

**VERSUS** 

THE STATE OF MEGHALAYA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(SLP (C) No.16092/2016 may also be listed alongwith CA 790/2017 on 8.5.2018 in ld. registrar court )

WITH

SLP(C) No. 16092/2016 (XIV)

Date: 08-05-2018 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Ms. Vidisha Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar, AOR

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Rachana Srivastava, AOR

Ms. Monika, Adv.

Ms. Sudipta Sarkar, Adv.

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR

Mr.Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Ms. Dharitry Phookan, AOR

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R

## C.A. No. 790/2017

High Court reported that respondent Nos. 2, 9, 11, 13 and 14 have been served but no proof is attached. However, service is

Item No.21 2

deemed complete on the said respondents.

Ld. Counsel for the appellant has failed to file the fresh particulars and to take fresh steps for the service of notice to the respondent Nos. 15 and 17 despite opportunities.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the appellant that she is under instructions to delete respondent Nos. 15 and 17. Ld. Counsel shall within a period of two weeks file the same.

## SLP(C) No. 16092/2016

Ld. Counsel for the respondent No.1 has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 have already filed the counter affidavit. Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.5 but no one has entered appearance on his behalf.

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the respondent Nos. 6 to 17 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the appellant within a period of two weeks.

List again on 30.7.2018.

SANJAY PARIHAR Registrar

MG