

SECTION XII
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5651, 5652, 5653, 5654. 5655, 5656, 5657,
5658, 5659, 5661, 5662, 5663, 5664, 5665, 5666, 5667, 5668,
5669, 5670, 5671 AND 5672 OF 2015**

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.

(Application for change of name of appellant in
C.A. No. 5665 of 2015)

The Southern India Mill's
Association & Ors. Etc.

....Appellants

Versus

The Govt. Of Tamil Nadu & Ors. Etc.

....Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above-mentioned (Civil Appeal Nos. 5651 to 5672 of 2015) were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 20th July, 2015, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

"Permission to file SLPs is granted.
Delay condoned.
Leave granted.
Reply be filed within four weeks.
Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two
weeks' thereafter.
Parties are directed to maintain
status quo till the orders to the
contrary."

Subsequently, matters above-mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 31st August, 2016 and 27th September, 2016, when the following order was passed:-

"Respondent nos. 1 to 7 are duly
represented.

None appears for respondent no.8 despite
due service. Parties have not filed statement
of case. Since it is no more a mandate after
the amendment in the Supreme Court Rules,
2013, registry to process the matters for
being listed before the Hon'ble Court as per
rules."

...2/-

27th September, 2016

C.A.NO.5651/2015

Reply has not been filed by the respondents in terms of the Hon'ble Court's order dt.20.7.2015 and time granted by the Hon'ble Court has expired.

Registry to process the matter for being listed before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

C.A.NO.5652/2015

None appears for the respondents despite due service.

Matter stands complete. However, to be listed before the Hon'ble Court alongwith connected matters.

C.A.NO.5653,5656,5667,5668,5669,5671 of 2015

Reply has not been filed by respondent nos. 1 to 7 in terms of the Hon'ble Court's order dt.20.7.2015 and time granted by the Hon'ble Court has expired.

None appears for respondent no.8 despite due service.

Registry to process the matter for being listed before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

C.A.NO.5672/2015

Reply has not been filed by all the respondents in terms of the Hon'ble Court's order dt.20.7.2015 and time granted by the Hon'ble Court has expired.

Registry to process the matter for being listed before the Hon'ble Court as per rules.

C.A.NO.5655,5657,5658,5659,5661,5662,5663 of 2015

Already updated as ready matters.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5651 OF 2015

There are five respondents in the matter. Mr. B. Balaji,. Advocate has entered vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of all the respondents.

Service of notice is complete.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5652 OF 2015

There are two respondents in the matter. Certificate of service has been received in respect of both the respondents but no one has entered vakalatnama/appearance on their behalf so far.

Service of notice is complete.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5653, 5654, 5655, 5656, 5657, 5658, 5659, 5661, 5662, 5663, 5664, 5667, 5668, 5669 AND 5671 OF 2015

There are eight common respondents in the matters. Respondent Nos. 1 to 7 have been represented by Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate and certificate of service has been received in respect of respondent No.8 but no one has entered vakalatnama/appearance on his behalf so far.

Service of notice is complete in all the matters.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5665 OF 2015

It is also submitted that Mr. Rauf Rahim, Counsel for the appellant in CA NO. 5665 of 2015 has filed an application for change of name of appellant but same is defective as annexure B filed alongwith application is in small font and in hazy print and Counsel has not cured its default so far.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5672 OF 2015

There are six respondents in the matter. All the respondents have been represented by Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate.

Service of show cause notice is complete.

It is further submitted that counsel for the parties in all the matters have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party do not desire to file statement of cases and they have adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

It is lastly submitted that Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate has filed common counter affidavit in all the matters on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 5.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this, the 26th day of October, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mr. Rauf Rahim, Advocate
Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac