www.ecourtsindia.com

1

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C).....CC 16117/2014

No(s).

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29/10/2013 in WP No. 10027/2001 passed by the High Court Of Judicature of A.P. At Hyderabad)

BOMMIDI LAXMI TULASI

Petitioner(s

**VERSUS** 

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

Respondent(s

(With appln. (s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

Date : 10/10/2014 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. C. S. N. Mohan Rao, Adv. Mr. Lokesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Delay condoned.

learned counsel for Heard the petitioner.

n

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

perusal of the order passed by the High Court, we find that it has declined to grant terminal benefit on the foundation that the petitioner has already been removed from service.

Signature Not Verified Digitally signed by Naveen Kumar

A grievance has been made by the petitioner that he has not yet been served with the order of removal. Be that

Date: 2014.10.10 16:16:02 IST

as it may, it is directed that the copy of the order of

Reason:

removal shall be supplied to the petitioner withi

n

period of six weeks from the date of presentation of c

ору

before order the Competent this Authority and thereafter it will be open to the petitioner to challenge the order of removal. Needless to emphasise, if the order of removal is assailed before the Appellate Authority, it shall advert to the same on merits and decide within a period of three months from the date of filing and should not throw it at the threshold on the ground of limitation.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, the

special leave petition stands disposed of.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)

COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY)