S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.Nos. 39-41 & 42-44 In

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 9367-9369 OF 2011

SECR., MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

BABITA PUNIYA & ANR.& ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment as party respondent and office report))

Date: 24/09/2012 These Applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK (IN CHAMBERS)

For Appellant(s) Ms. Gurmeet Kaur Kwatra, Adv.

Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr A.V. Palli, Adv.

Mrs.Rekha Palli,Adv.

Mr. Anupam Raina, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Lekhi, Adv.

Mr. Harish Pandey ,Adv

Mr. Vibhu Shanker Mishra, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

I.A.Nos.39-41/2012

Heard learned counsel for the applicant/intervenor and learned counsel for the Union of India.

The applicant is likely to be affected by any order that is passed in C.A.No. 9367-9369 of 2011. Hence applications are allowed and the applicant be impleaded as respondent in the civil appeals.

The name of the counsel for the applicant be shown in the cause list.

I.A.Nos.42-44/2012

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{Heard}}$ learned counsel for the applicant/intervenor and learned counsel for the Union of India.

The applicant may be affected by any order that is passed in $C.A.No.\ 9367-9369$ of 2011. Hence applications are allowed and the applicant be impleaded as respondent in the civil appeals.

The name of the counsel for the applicant be shown in the cause list.

(KUSUM SYAL)

(INDU SATIJA) |COURT MASTER