LISTED ON: 02.05.2017

COURT NO.: 03 ITEM NO.: 101

SECTION-XII A IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

<u>CIVIL APPEAL NOS.3791-3793, 3794-3796, 3797-3799, 3800-3802, 3803, 3804-3806, 3807-3809 & 3810 OF 2011.</u>

WITH

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(C) NO.19838 OF 2010.

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.13-15 IN C.A. NOS.3791-3793 OF 2011 (Applications for withdrawal of Appeals filed by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.16-18 of 2016 IN C.A. NOS.3791-3793 OF 2011

(Applications for substitution of State of Telangana in place of State of Andhra Pradesh filed by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.4-6 IN C.A. NOS.3797-3799 OF 2011 (Applications for intervention filed by Mr. N. Rajaraman, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.10-12 OF 2015 IN C.A. NOS.3794-3796 OF 2011

(Applications for modification of Court's order dated 25.04.2011 filed by Mrs. C. K. Sucharita, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS.16-18 2016 in C.A.NOS. 3794-3796 of 2011 (Applications for modification of Court's order dated 10.08.2015 filed by Mrs. C. K. Sucharita, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 7-9/2013 in C.A.NOS. 3804-3806 of 2011 (Application for transposition of Respondent as Appellant filed by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 10-12/2017 in C.A.NOS. 3797-3799 of 2011 (Application for modification of the Order dated 25th April, 2011)

GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA & ORS. ETC.

...APPELLANTS

- VERSUS -

RAO V.B.J. CHELIKANI & ORS ETC. ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 20th April, 2017, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following

order:-

"Some important questions regarding the authority of State in making allotment of land for the housing purposes either to certain identified groups of the society or individuals arise in this batch of appeals.

Having regard to the fact that the allotment of land is of the property vested in the individual States and having regard to the fact that the legislative competence to deal with the subject matter of land, is vested with the States under Entry 18 of List II of the 7th Schedule, primarily States have the necessary authority of formulating the policies with respect to the allotment of land for the housing purposes. But in the last few decades of the history of the Republic, it so happened that the policies of different States in this regard varied from State to State and even with reference to an individual State from time to time. It lead to lot of litigation creating uncertainties over the rights and obligations of the individuals and also questions regarding the legality and propriety of the policies adopted by the individual States.

In the present set of appeals before us, these questions are needed to be examined with reference to two States of the country, i.e. States of Telangana and Andhra Pradesh, but having regard to the importance of such question, we, prima facie, see the need to explore the possibility of establishing a uniform policy in these matters applicable throughout the country.

We, therefore, deem it appropriate to issue notice to the learned Attorney General to assist the Court in arriving at a proper conclusion in these matters.

We also deem it appropriate to put all the States and the Union Territories also and the Union of India on notice.

Registry will serve a copy of this order to various standing counsel/Advocates on Record representing

different States and Union Territories and also to the learned Attorney General, within a week from today.

List the matters on 2nd May, 2017 as part heard."

It is submitted that in view of Hon'ble Court's Order a certified copy of record of proceeding dated 20th April, 2017 alongwith copy of petition of CA Nos. 3791-3793 of 2011 (main matter) was issued to the Hon'ble Attorney General of India by Special Messenger.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the Appellant in remaining matters have not filed spare copy of petition. Therefore, copy of petition of remaining matter could not annex with Record of Proceeding.

It is further submitted that certified copy of Record of Proceeding dated 20th April, 2017 was also issued to all the Standing Counsel for the States by Special Messenger as directed.

Service position and status of the matters above-mentioned are as under:

C.A. Nos.3791-3793 of 2011:

There are 10 respondents in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and 9 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3792 and 3793 of 2011. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3791 and 3792 of 2011 are represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3793 of 2011 is represented by M/s. M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent No.3 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and 2 in C.A. Nos.3792 and 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate, Common Respondent Nos.4 and 8 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 3 and 7 respectively in C.A. Nos.3792 & 3793 of 2011 are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate. Common Respondent No.6 in C.A. Nos.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No. 5 in C.A. Nos.3792 and 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No.7 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No. 6 in C.A. Nos.3792 & 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common Respondent No.9 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No.8 in C.A. Nos.3792 & 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate and Common Respondent No.10 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No. 9 in C.A. Nos.3792 & 3793 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Respondent No.2 in C.A. No.3791 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. Common Respondent No.5 in C.A. Nos.3791 of 2011 and Respondent No.

www.ecourtsindia.com

4 in C.A. Nos.3792 and 3793 of 2011 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Hon'ble Court's Order dated 21st January, 2011.

Service is complete.

C.A. Nos.3794-3796 of 2011:

There are 12 respondents in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and 11 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3795 and 3796 of 2011. Respondent No.1 in C.A. Nos.3794 and 3795 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3796 of 2011 is represented by M/s. M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent Nos.3 to 5 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate. Common Respondent No.6 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 5 in C.A. No.3795 and 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate. Common Respondent No.8 in C.A. Nos.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 7 in C.A. Nos.3795 and 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No.9 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No.8 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common respondent No.10 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 9 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 are represented by Mr. S. Thananjayan, Advocate. Common Respondent No.11 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 10 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate and common Respondent No.12 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 11 in C.A. Nos.3795 & 3796 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Respondent No.2 in C.A. No.3794 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. Common Respondent No.7 in C.A. Nos.3794 of 2011 and Respondent No. 6 in C.A. Nos.3795 and 3796 of 2011 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 9th February, 2011.

Service is complete.

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mrs. C. K. Sucharita, Advocate has on 27th April, 2016 filed an application for modification of the order dated 10th August, 2015 of this Hon'ble Court in I.A. Nos. 10-12 of 2015. The said applications have registered as I.A. Nos. 16-18 of 2016 and the same have been included in the appeal paper-books.

It is further submitted that Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate has on 17th April, 2017 filed memo of appearance on behalf of State of Telangana.

C.A. Nos.3797-3799 of 2011:

There are 12 respondents in C.A. No.3797 of 2011 and 11 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3798 and 3799 of 2011. The Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 3797 and 3798 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate, Common respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate, Common respondent No. 10 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent No. 9 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 11 in C.A. No. 3797 of Respondent No. 10 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is 2011 and represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 6 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent No. 5 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate. Common respondent No. 9 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011, Respondent No. 8 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 12 in C.A. Nos. 3797 of 2011 and Respondent No. 11 in C.A. Nos. 3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 7 in CA No. 3797 of 2011, Respondent No. 6 in C.A. No.3798 and 3799 of 2011 is represented by Mr. S. Thananjayan, Advocate and Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 3799 of 2011 is represented by M/s M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Respondent No. 2 in C.A. No. 3797 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The names of Common Respondent No. 8 in C.A. No. 3797/2011, Respondent No. 7 in C.A. No. 3798/2011 and Respondent No. 7 in C.A. No. 3799/2011 have been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 21st January, 2011.

It is further submitted that Counsel for the Petitioner has on 27th April, 2017 filed an application for modification of the Order dated 25th April, 2011. The same have been registered as I.A. Nos. 10-12 of 2017 and are being circulated herewith for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

Service is complete.

It is further submitted that Mr. N. Rajaraman, Advocate, has on 28th January, 2013, filed applications of intervention on behalf of applicant alongwith

Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of Applicant. The said application has been registered as I.A. Nos.4-6 and the same is placed with the Appeal Paper Books.

It is further submitted that Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate has on 17th April, 2017 filed memo of appearance on behalf of State of Telangana.

C.A. Nos.3800-3802 of 2011:

There are 12 respondents in each of the Appeals above-mentioned. Respondent No. 1 in C.A. Nos. 3800 and 3801 of 2011 are represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate. Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 3802 of 2011 is represented by M/s M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent No. 3 in C.A. No. 3800 of 2011 and Respondent No. 2 in C.A. Nos. 3801 and 3802 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Advocate. Common respondent No. 6 in C.A. No. 3800 of 2011 and Respondent No. 5 in C.A. Nos. 3801 and 3802 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 4 in CA No. 3800 of 2011, Respondent No. 3 in CA Nos. 3801 & 3802 of 2011 and Common Respondent No. 7 in all the appeals are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 8 in all the appeals is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate, Respondent No. 9 in all the appeals is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Common Respondent Nos. 10 to 12 in all the Appeals are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 in C.A. No. 3800 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The name of Common Respondent No. 5 in C.A. No. 3800/ 2011 and Respondent No. 4 in C.A. No. 3801/2011 and Respondent No. 4 in C.A. No. 3802 of 2011 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 9th February, 2011.

Service is complete.

It is further submitted that Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate has on 17th April, 2017 filed memo of appearance on behalf of State of Telangana.

C.A. No.3803 of 2011:

There are 12 respondents in the Appeal. Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate; Respondent No. 4 is represented by Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Advocate; Respondent Nos. 5 and 9 are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate; Respondent No. 7 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate; Respondent No. 8 is represented by Mr. R. Neduraman, Advocate; Respondent No. 10 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate; Respondent No. 11 is represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate Respondent No. 12 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The name of Respondent No. 6 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 11th February, 2011.

Service is complete.

C.A. Nos.3804-3806 of 2011:

There are 12 respondents in C.A. No.3804 of 2011 and 11 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3805 and 3806 of 2011. Respondent no.1 in C.A. Nos.3804 and 3805 of 2011 are represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocate. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3806 of 2011 is represented by M/s. M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in C.A. No. 3804/2011 and Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in C.A. No. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 are represented by Mr. S. Uday Kumar Sagar, Advocate. Common Respondent Nos. 6 & 10 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 5 & 9 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 9 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 and Respondent No. 8 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 are represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 11 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 and Respondent No. 10 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 8 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 and Respondent No. 7 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 12 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011, Respondent No. 11 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 in C.A. No. 3804 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The name of Common Respondent No. 7 in C.A. No. 3804/2011 and Respondent No. 6 in C.A. Nos. 3805 and 3806 of 2011 has been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 25th February, 2011.

Service is complete.

C.A. Nos.3807-3809 of 2011:

There are 13 respondents in C.A. Nos.3807 of 2011 and 12 respondents each in C.A. Nos.3808 and 3809 of 2011. Respondent No.1 in C.A. Nos.3807

and 3808 is represented by Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain, Advocates. Respondent No.1 in C.A. No.3809 of 2011 is represented by M/s. M.C.L.M. & Co., Advocates. Common Respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate. Common Respondent Nos. 6 and 10 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent Nos. 5 and 9 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 are represented by Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate, Common Respondent No. 9 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent No. 8 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 11 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent No. 10 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate. Common Respondent No. 12 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent No. 11 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate. Common proforma Respondent No. 13 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011, and proforma Respondent No. 12 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Advocate, Common Respondent No. 8 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 and Respondent No. 7 in C.A. Nos. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 is represented by Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far. The names of Common Respondent No.7 in C.A. No. 3807 of 2011, Respondent No. 6 in C.A. No. 3808 and 3809 of 2011 have been deleted from the array of parties vide Court's Order dated 23rd February, 2011.

Service is complete.

C.A. No.3810 of 2011:

There are 11 respondents in the Appeal. Respondent Nos.1 to 3, 4, 5, 9, 7, 8, 10 and 11 are represented by Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Mr. U.S. Prasad, Ms. Promila, Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Mr. R. Nedumaran, Mr. Rajeev Sharma and Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocates, respectively. Respondent No.6 has been served with notice but he has not entered appearance before this Court so far.

Service is complete.

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(C) NO.19838 OF 2010:

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court (Judge in-Chamber) on 31st July, 2014 & 26th August, 2014, when the Court was pleased to pass the following Order:

****31.07.2014**

In this application, it is prayed that the name of respondent No. 6 be deleted from the array of parties. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

For the reasons stated in the application, permission is granted to delete the name of respondent No. 6 at the risk of the petitioner.

Amended memo of cause title be filed within four weeks. I.A. No. 3 is disposed of accordingly.

26.08.2014

"Applications for impleadment, already filed in respective matters for impleadment of State of Telangana, are allowed.

In the remaining matters, on oral request made by respective learned counsel, State of Telangana is impleaded.

Mr.P.Venkat Reddy, learned counsel, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Telangana.

Respective learned counsel will file the amended Memo of Parties within 10 days' time."

There are 12 respondents in the matter. Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate, has entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and has also filed counter affidavit on their behalf. Mr. T.V. Ratnam and Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocates, have entered appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 5 & 9, respectively. Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 4, Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 8, Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of Respondent No.10 and Mr. Abinash K. Mishra, Advocate has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on behalf of Respondent No.11 but no one has filed counter affidavit so far. Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate has on 17th April, 2017 filed memo of appearance on behalf of State of Telangana. The name of Respondent No. 6 has been deleted from array of parites vide Court's Order dated 31st July, 2014.

Service is complete.

In compliance of Circular dated 3rd December, 2014 and 5th December, 2014, Original Records have been sent back.

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that counsel for the appellants in all the Appeals except C.A. No.3810 of 2011, have filed their statement of case and counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 in C.A. No.3810 of 2011 also filed statement of case but counsel for the Respondents except C.A. No.3810 of 2011 has not

filed statement of case in terms of Order XV, Rule 35(1) of Supreme Court Rules, 1966 so far. Ms. Promila, Advocate, has on 31st October, 2012, filed a letter stating therein that she has already filed statement of case on behalf of appellants in C.A. Nos.3794-3796 and 3797-3799 of 2011, who are also respondents in the Civil Appeal No. 3791-3793 of 2011 and requested therein that filing of statement of case may be dispensed with in Civil Appeal No. 3791-3793 of 2011.

It is further submitted that Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate, for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 in C.A. No.3810 of 2011 filed counter affidavit and the same is included in the Appeal Paper Books but no rejoinder affidavit has been filed.

It is lastly submitted that Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate has on 29th July, 2016 filed an affidavit on behalf of Respondent No. 8 (Housing Society) in reply to the application filed by Appellant i.e. State of Telangana in place of State of Andhra Pradesh as Appellant in CA Nos. 3791-3793 of 2011 and copy of the same has been included in the paper-books of I.A. Nos. 16-18 of 2016 for kind perusal of the Hon'ble Court.

The afore-said Appeals and Special Leave Petition with applications above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this, the 1st day of May, 2017.

sd/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

- 1. Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, Advocate
 Andhra Pradesh Bhavan, 1, Ashoka Road, New Delhi-110001
- 2. Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Advocate, 312, C. K. Daphtary Block
- 3. Mrs. C.K. Sucharita, Advocate., 214, Lawyers Chambers (New)
- 4. M/s MCLM & Co., Advocates, 59, Lawyers Chambers
- 5. Mr. U.S. Prasad, Advocate, 28, Lawyers Chambers
- 6. Ms. Promila, Advocate, 97, Lawyers Chambers
- 7. Mr. R. Nedumaran, Advocate, 27, Old Lawyers Chambers
- 8. Mr. T.V. Ratnam, Advocate, 129, Lawyers Chambers
- 9. Mr. Bijoy Kr. Jain, Advocate. 302, 3rd Floor, Prakash Deep Building,
 - 7, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi
- 10.Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate, Ch. No. 4, C.K. Daphtary Block
- 11.Mr. Amit Pawan, Advocate, 28, Lawyer's Chambers (Old)
- 12.Mr. S. Thananjayan, Advocate, 16, Lawyer's Chambers (Old)
- 13.Mr. N. Rajaraman, Advocate,
- 14.Mr. Abinash K. Mishra, Advocate.
 - 102, New Lawyer's Chambers

15. Shri Mukul Rohatgi, Attorney General of India

- 16 Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv.141, M.C. Setalvad Chambers, Supreme Court (Standing Counsel for State of Assam)
- Mr. Anil Srivastava, Adv.
 S-196, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi
 (Standing Counsel for State of Arunachal Pradesh)
- 18. Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.20-A, Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Bihar)
- Mr. D.K. Sinha, Adv.8 Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Chhattisgarh)
- 20. Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.138, New Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Goa)
- 21. Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.235, New Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Gujarat)
- Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen, Adv.D-28 (LGF), Jangpura Extn., New Delhi(Standing Counsel for State of Haryana)
- Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.
 17-B, Ground Floor, IAEA House
 IP Estate, New Delhi
 (Standing Counsel for State of Himachal Pradesh)
- 24. Mr. Mohi Chaudhary, Adv.
 G-26 (LGF) Jangpura Extn., New Delhi
 (Standing Counsel for State of Jammu & Kashmir)
- 25. Mr. Tapesh K. Singh, Adv. B-48 (Basement) Defence Colony, New Delhi (Standing Counsel for State of Jharkhand)
- 26. Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Adv.15, M.C. Setalvad Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Karnataka)
- 27. Mr. M.T. George, Adv.435 Setalvad Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Kerala)
- Mr. Saurabh Mishra, Adv.
 A-89 LGF, Defence Colony, New Delhi
 (Standing Counsel for State of Madhya Pradesh)

- 29. Mr. Nishant R. Katneshwarkar, Adv. Flat No. 1492, Sector-31, Noida, (UP) (Standing Counsel for State of Maharashtra)
- 30. Mr. Ashok Kr. Singh, Adv.119, Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Manipur)
- 31. Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.10, Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Meghalaya)
- 32. Mr. P.V. Yogeshwaran, Adv. M/s. Law Chartered Delhi, S-513, (2nd Floor) Main Vikas Marg, Laxmi Nagar, Delhi 110092 (Standing Counsel for State of Mizoram)
- 33. Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.310 C.K. Daphtary(Standing Counsel for State of Nagaland)
- 34. Ms. Sharmila Upadhyay, Adv.303, M.C. Setalvad Block Chambers(Standing Counsel for State of Odisha)
- 35. Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv.312, New Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Punjab)
- 36. Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.69, Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Rajasthan)
- 37. M/s. Arputham Aruna & Co. Advs.25, Bazar Lane, Bengali Mkt., New Delhi(Standing Counsel for State of Sikkim)
- 38. Mr. B. Balaji, Adv C-248 East End Aparts. Mayur Vihar-I Ext., New Delhi (Standing Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu)
- 39. Mr. S. Uday Kumar Sagar, Adv.25, Bazar Lane Bengali Market, New Delhi(Standing Counsel for State of Telangana)
- 40. Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.20-A, Lawyers Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Tripura)
- 41. Ms. Rachna Srivastava, Adv.139, M.C. Setalvad Chamber(Standing Counsel for State of Uttrakhand)

- 42. Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv. 17-B. Ground Floor, IAEA House IP Estate, New Delhi (Standing Counsel for State of Uttar Pradesh)
- 43. Mr. Parijat Sinha, Adv. 5, Lawyers Chamber (Standing Counsel for State of West Bengal)
- 44. Ms. G. Indira, Adv. D-501, Anand Lok Apts, (Purvasha) Mayur Vihar Phase-I, Delhi (Standing Counsel for Union Territory of Andaman & Nicobar)
- 45. Mr. Nikhil Goel, Adv 43, Lawyers Chamber (Standing Counsel for Union Territory of Chandigarh)
- 46. Mr. M.K. Maroria, Adv. Central Agency (Standing Counsel for Union of India, Union Territories of Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Daman & Diu, NCT of Delhi and Lakshadweep)
- 47. Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv. 220 C.K. Daphtary Chamber (Standing Counsel for Union Territory of Puducherry)

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR