

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(Civil)No(s).12616-12618/2010

GOVERNMENT OF A.P. & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAO V.B.J.CHELIKANI & ORS. ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing process fee and prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 12165-12167 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief)

SLP(C) NO. 12753-12755 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 13232-13234 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 14440 of 2010

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 16073-16075 of 2010

(With office report)

SLP(C) NO. 16155-16157 of 2010

(With office report)

Date: 23/11/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Ms. Nirada Das,Adv.

Ms. C.K. Sucharita,Adv.

Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad,Adv.

Mr. K.N. Sinha,Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Birthray,Adv.

Mr. R. Nedumaran,Adv.

Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh,Adv.

Ms. Promila,Adv.

Mr./Ms. Pawan,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Liz Mathew,Adv.

M/S. McIm & Co.,Adv.

...2/-

Item No.85

: 2 :

Mr. Bijoy Kumar Jain ,Adv

Mr. Avneesh Garg,Adv.

Mr. T.V. Ratnam ,Adv

Mr. R. Nedumaran ,Adv

Ms. Promila ,Adv

Mr. Amit Pawan ,Adv

Mr. Prakash Kumar,Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma,Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Birthray,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In all the above cases it is submitted by both sides that most of the parties either appear through lawyer as petitioner or as respondent and they have been served with notice. It is further submitted by the counsel for the petitioners that respondent No.2, society is common in all the cases and that they propose to take out dast against the above. Permitted. If any of the petitioner proposes to take out dasti against any other unserved respondents he may do so by taking proper steps within a week. It is further submitted by Mr. B.K. Jain, advocate that he has not been served by copy of the pleadings. Counsel for the petitioner shall serve copy of the pleadings within a week and file proof as a last chance.

In SLP(C)No.12616-12618/2010 the application for deletion of respondent No. 6 may be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for appropriate orders.

...3/-

Item No.85 : 3 :

In SLP(C)No.12165-12167/2010 the application for deletion of proforma respondent No. 7 may be processed and list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber. Mr.U.S. Prasad and Mr. Rajeev Sharma, advocates who have filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Nos. 6 and 11 are directed to file full court fee as per Rule.

In SLP(C)No.12753-12755/2010 the application for condonation of delay in remitting process fee is allowed. Delay condoned. Issue notice. Await return of notice. Mr. U.S. Prasad and Mr. Rajeev Sharma, advocates who have filed Vakalatnama for respondent Nos. 6 and 11 are directed to file full court fee.

In SLP(C)No.13232-13234/2010 the application for deletion of respondent No.5 which may be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for appropriate orders.

In SLP(C)No.14440/2010 the application for deletion of respondent No. 6 may be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for appropriate orders.

In the above case, it is further submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 have been served. They may file Vakalatnama and counter affidavit on or before 16.12.2010. Respondent No. 4 and 12 are awaited. Counsel for the petitioner may take dasti notice as above in addition to the notice already issued.

In SLP(C)No.16073-16075/2010 the application for condonation of delay in filing process fee is allowed. Delay condoned. Issue notice. Counsel for the petitioner may take dasti in addition to the notice already ordered. Take steps. The application for deletion of respondent No.7 may be placed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber at the earliest.

...4/-

Item No.85 : 4 :

In SLP(C)No.16155-16157/2010 it is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that an application for condonation of delay in remitting the process fee has been filed. Heard the petitioner. Delay condoned. Issue notice. The application for deletion of respondent No.7 may be processed and place before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for appropriate orders. Notice not served on respondent Nos. 2, 7 and 13. It is submitted that respondent No. 13 is petitioner in SLP(C)No.16073/2010 and

the counsel takes notice. Granted
Vakalatnama and counter affidavit on or before 16.12.2010.
Any other respondent who proposes
affidavit shall do so on or before 16.12.2010 as a last
chance.

time for filing
to file counter

List the matters on 16.12.2010.

S

(SUNIL THOMAS)
Registrar