

REGISTRAR'S COURT NO.

LISTED ON : 03.02.2017
ITEM NO. :

SECTION-XII A
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 5165 and 5166 OF 2015

GOVT. OF INDIA ETC.

...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

DASARI SRINIVASULU & ANR.ETC.

...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT FOR PRE-FINAL HEARING

The matters above-mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 6th July, 2015, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

“Delay condoned.

Leave granted.

In the meanwhile, there shall be stay of operation of the impugned judgment dated 22.01.2015 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad for the State of Telangana and the State of Andhra Pradesh and the order dated the order dated 29.04.2014 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad.”

CA No. 5165 of 2015:-

It is submitted that there are two Respondents. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Ms. Manjeet Kirpal, Advocate and Respondent No. 2/ State has been served with notice but no one has entered appearance on its behalf so far.

Service of notice is complete.

It is further submitted that Original Record in the instant matter has not been called for by the Hon'ble Court.

It is also submitted that counsel for parties have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(1)(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and so far as appellant is concerned, it shall be presumed that the Appellant has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

LR

CA No. 5166 of 2015:-

It is submitted that there are two Respondents. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Shadan Farasat, Advocate and Respondent No. 2/ State has been served with notice but no one has entered appearance on its behalf so far.

Service of notice is complete.

It is further submitted that Original Record in the instant matter has not been called for by the Hon'ble Court.

It is also submitted that counsel for the Appellant has filed statement of case. It is also submitted that Counsel for the Respondents have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32 (2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013 and its proviso, where a Respondent does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case.

The aforesaid matters are listed before the Court of Registrar with this Office Report for pre-final hearing.

Dated this, the 2nd day of February, 2017.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:-

1. Ms. Sushma Suri, Advocate
2. Mr. Manjeet Kirpal, Advocate
3. Mr. Shadan Farasat, Advocate, J-14 (Basement) Jangpura Ext. New Delhi-110014.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR