SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.213 OF 2011

DEMOCRATIC YOUTH FEDERATION OF INDIA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for directions, intervention, modification of Court's order dated 13.5.2011 and permission to file additional documents)

Date: 30/09/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

www.ecourtsindia.com

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Krishnan Venugopal, Sr. Adv.

> Mr. Deepak Prakash, Adv. Mr. Biju P. Raman, Adv. Mr. Bineesh Karat, Adv. Ms. Leena Nair, Adv.

Ms. Mr. Usha,Adv.

Vinod Divakar, Adv. Mr. Vinod Divakar, A Ms. Meena C.R., Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. A. Mariarputham, AG. For Sikkim: Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Yusuf Khan,Adv.

For Andhra Pradesh: Ms. C.K. Sucharita, Adv.

Ms. Nirada Das, Adv.

Ms. Pinky Anand, Sr. Adv. Mr. D.N. Goburdhan, Adv. Mr. Prabal Bagchi, Adv. Ms. Kartika Sharma, Adv.

For Kerala: Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Adv.

For Bihar: Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar

Mr. Chandan Kumar, Adv.

...2/-

- 2 -

For Gujarat: Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Mr. Ashwini Kumar, Adv. Ms. Suveni Banerjee, Adv.

Gaurav Banerjee, ASG. J.S. Attri, Adv. Mr. T.M. Singh, Adv. Mr. Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv. Mr. A. Deb Kumar, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

For Manipur: Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

For Maharashtra:
This is a True Copy Mr. Shankar Chillarge, Addl. Govt. Adv. Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv.v.

For Pesticides Manufacturers and Formulators Asso.

Mr. H.N. Salve, Sr. Adv. Jehangir Mistry, Sr. Adv. Mr.

Mr. Jay Savla, Adv. Meenakshi Ogra,Adv. Ms.

of India:

Ms. Renuka Sahu, Adv.

For Haryana:

Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG. Mr. Vivekta Singh, Adv. Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv. Mr.

Mr. S.S. Ray, Adv. Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.

For Rajasthan:

Ms. Archana Pathak, Adv. Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv. Mr. Sahil Garg, Adv.

For Tamil Nadu:

Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.

Ms. Prerna Mehta, Adv.

For Nagaland:

 ${\tt Ms.}$ K. Enatoli Sema,Adv. Mr. Edward Belho, Adv. Rokokieno Mor,Adv. Mr. Balaji Srinivasan,Adv. Mr. Mr. Athuimei R. Naga, Adv. Mr. C.M. Kenedy, Adv.

For J&K:

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv. Mr. Suhaas R. Joshi, Adv. Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.

> ...3/-3 -

> > Report

so

this

from

of

that

Country.

the

the

For Mizoram:

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

For Chhattisgarh:

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv. Mr. D.K. Sinha, Adv.

For Jharkhand:

Mr. S. Chandra Shekhar, Adv.

For Meghalaya:

pollutant

Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

For Goa:

Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Interim We find from the Expert Committee dated 24th August, 2011, that, as of date, the available export orders of Endosulfan with various manufacturers are to the tune of 1734 As against that, the accumulated quantity of Endosulfan Tech. lying as accumulated stock with various manufacturers in India is 1090.596 MT.

Having heard learned counsel on both sides, we are of the view that 1090.596 MT of Endosulfan

permitted to be exported on conditions below from whom enumerated to those Countries export orders of Endosulfan have been received by

manufacturers in India

manufacturers are able to fulfill their contractual obligations to that extent, the alleged and,

eliminated

However, we need to take steps and issue directions

stands

```
to prevent pollution along the way in the course of
         export of 1090.596 MT to countries, who are ready
         and willing to import endosulfan and who placed orders with Indian manufacturer Accordingly, the following directions are issued
                                                                      and who
                                                                                        have
                                                                       manufacturers.
                                                                                      ...4/-
  for export of 1090.596 MT of Endosulfan:
      Each Manufacturer-cum-Exporter shall obtain
                                                          export
  Certificate of Registration for Competent Authority. Before actual expo
                                                                           from
 Competent Authority. Before actual export of the material, packaging has to be done manufacturer. This packaging will be done under
                                                                            by
                                                                                      the
  Rule 35 of the Insecticides Rules, 1971, and other
  cognate Rules.
                                        will be done
  [b] The
                                                                     under
                  packaging
                                                                                      the
  supervision of the jurisdictional Commissioner of
  Customs or any other higher/suitable officer to be
  nominated by him/her; higher officer from Insecticides Board; and in the presence
                                                                          from
  officer from the Regional Office of the Ministry of
  Environment and Forests.
        After proper
                                         packaging
                                                               is done,
  indicated above, the manufacturer(s) will transport
  the consignment to the concerned Port from where
  the material will be exported in accordance with
  the provisions of the Insecticides Act, 1968, and
                                        We are informed that,
  Insecticides Rules, 1971.
  generally, the product is tanker/container from the manufacturer(s) to the Port.
                                                 is carried
place
                                                                                        а
                                                                           of
                                                                                      the
                                                          At the Port,
                                                                                      the
  Customs Officer would check whether or not there is any tampering of the seal placed
                                                                                      the
  tanker/container.
  [d] All conditions
                                        enumerated in
                                                                      the
                                                                           Interim
  Report of the Expert Committee dated 24th August,
  2011, shall be complied wit manufacturer(s). Conditions mentioned in the said
                                                           with
                                                                                  the
                                                                            by
                                                           will form part of
  Report dated 24th August, 2011,
  the Registration Certificate for export.
           All expenses incurred towards supervision,
  as directed by this Order, shall be reimbursed by
  the manufacturer(s).
           We are informed that when this Court passed
  order on 13th May, 2011, the then
Registration Certificates/Permits
manufacturer(s) stood cancelled Today wi
                                                                    existing
                                                                    of the
  manufacturer(s) stood cancelled. Today, with this Order, we are directing restoration of those
  Registration Certificates/Permits, subject to the
  compliance of the conditions mentioned hereinabove,
  confined only to the export of Endosulfan to the
  tune of 1090.596 MT.
  After completion of the export, expanding the manufacturer(s) will inform the Registration
  Committee giving all requisite
                                                      details of such
          Lastly, we make it clear that this Order is
  strictly confined to the manufactured
                                                                    quantity
  which has accumulated to the extent of 1090.596 MT
  and also make it clear that no manufacturer(s)
  shall manufacture Endosulfan in any form and, to
  that extent, earlier Order passed by this Court on
  13th May, 2011, shall continue to operate.
tsindia.com
          Place the matter on 10th October, 2011, 'For
  Directions'.
```

[T.I. Rajput] A.R.-cum-P.S. [Madhu Saxena] Assistant Registrar