```
\210&1
  ITEM NO.50
                           COURT NO.8
                                                     SECTION PIL(W)
                  SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
                          RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
  Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s).659/2007
  ENVIRONMENT & CONSUMER PROTN.FOUNDATION
                                                      Petitioner(s)
                                   VERSUS
  UNION OF INDIA & ORS.
                                                      Respondent(s)
  (with appln. (s)
                        for
                               directions and
                                                  permission
                                                                     file
                                                                           additional
  documents and office report)
  WITH
 | W.P.(C) No. 133/2012
 (With Office Report)
  W.P.(C) No. 168/2012
 (With Office Report)
 Date : 29/04/2016 These petitions were called on for hearing today.
  CORAM :
            HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
            HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
  For Petitioner(s)
                      Mr. Ravindra Bana, AOR
  Mr. Rono Mohanty, Adv.
 NALSA
                      Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR
 Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Divya Jyoti Jaipuriar, Adv.
 Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR
 For Respondent(s) Mr. Kailash Vasudev, Sr. Adv.
  Mr. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.
 Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Shailender Saini, Adv.
 Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv.
  Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv.
  Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv.
  Mr. Amarjit Singh, Adv.
                     Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR
 Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
  Mr. G.S. Makkar, Adv.
 NCW
                     Ms. Aparna Bhat, AOR
 Ms. Raj Kumari Banju, Adv.
 For States of
 Bihar Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR
 Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv.
 Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv.
  Chhattisgarh Mr. J.K. Gilda, AG
  Mr. Aniruddh P. Mayee, AOR
  Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.
  NCT of Delhi Mr. D.S. Mahra, AOR
  H.P. Mr. Suryanaryana Singh, AAG
 Ms. Pragati Neekhra, AOR
 Kerala Ms. Bina Madhavan, AOR.
 Karnataka Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, AOR
 Maharashtra Dr. Ashish Sharma, Adv.
 Mr. Nishant R. Katneswarkar, Adv.
 Manipur Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.
 Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR
 Mizoram Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, AOR
  Mr. T.L.V. Ramachari, Adv.
  Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
  Mr. K.V.L. Raghavn, Adv.
  Nagaland Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.
  Mr. Edward Belho, Adv.
 Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
  Sikkim Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.
Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv.
Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv.
Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.
```

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

www.ecourtsindia.com

for M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.

AR-CUM-PS

Tamil Nadu Mr. B. Balaji, AOR Mr. Muthuvel Palani, Adv. Mr. K. Venkataramani, Adv. Tripura Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv. Mr. Aditya Raina, Adv. Uttar Pradesh Ms. Pragati Neekhra, AOR Mr. Utkarsh Sharma, Adv. A&N Islands Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Mrs. G. Indira, AOR Puducherry Mr.V.G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, AOR Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, AOR Mr. Avinash Sharma, Adv. Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, AOR UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following ORDER Aparna Bhat, learned counsel appearing for National Commission for Women has filed a preliminary status report. She says that four months' time required to prepare a final report with regard to three States, viz., Odisha, West Bengal and Uttarakhand. Time as prayed for is granted. At the time of filing the final report, the National Commission for Women should also take inputs from the State of Uttar Pradesh so that one consolidated report is filed for four States for the convenience of everybody. Certain interim directions are prayed for in the preliminary report. Mr. Kailash Vasudev, learned senior counsel appearing for the Union of India says that interim directions will be implemented since they do not involve any complications. We expect the Union of India to implement the interim directions within two months. In the report filed by Ms. Renuka Kumar on behalf of the State of Uttar Pradesh, it is pointed out that Sulabh International has withdrawn its assistance since HelpAge India is involved in the project. Merely because some NGO is assisting the widows does not mean that anybody else is debarred from rendering assistance. We request Sulabh International to continue its efforts and to assist in the betterment of the widows in Vrindavan and other places. We have also gone through the pendrive submitted Ms. Renuka Kumar. The pendrive is returned to learned counsel for the State of Uttar Pradesh and objections given by Ms. Renuka Kumar has been taken into account. Mr. Kailash Vasudev, learned senior counsel for the of India says that the copies of the affidavit Union compliance with the direction of this Court dated March, 2016 will be handed over to the appearing counsel. List the matter on 2 nd September, 2016. (SANJAY KUMAR-I) (JASWINDER KAUR)

COURT MASTER