ITEM NO.302 COURT NO.9 SECTION PIL

## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

## Writ Petition(Civil) No.659/2007

ENVIRONMENT & CONSUMER PROTN. FOUNDATION

Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for directions, permission to file additional documents and office report) (For Final Disposal)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 133/2012 (With Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 168/2012

Date: 17/04/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Ravindra Bana, AOR Mr. Rono Mohanthy, Adv.

NALSA Ms. Anitha Shenoy, AOR

> Mr. Colin Gonsalves, Sr. Adv. Mr. Divya Jyoti Jaipuriar, Adv.

Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. P.S. Patwalia, ASG Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv. Mr. Amarjit Singh, Adv. Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr. Anuvrat Sharma, AOR

NCW Ms. Aparna Bhat, AOR

> Ms. Tanima Kishore, Adv. Ms. Shivangi Singh, Adv.

Ms. Sushma Suri, AOR

Ms. Bina Madhavan, AOR

NCT of Delhi Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

> Ms. Vimla Sinha, Adv. Mr. D.S. Mahra, AOR

Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR

Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, AOR

For States of

Bihar Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR

Ms. Shubhra Rai, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Srivastava, Adv.

Chhattisgarh Mr. J.K. Gilda, AG

> Mr. C.D. Singh, AAG Mr. Apoorv Kurup, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddh P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Rathi, Adv.

Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, Adv.

Ms. Sylona, Adv.

H.P. Mr. Suryanaryana Singh, AAG

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, AOR

Kerala Ms. Bina Madhavan, Adv.

Maharashtra Mrs. Asha Gopalan Nair, AOR

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

Ms. Charudatta Mahindrakar, Adv.

Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv.

Manipur Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

> Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.

Mizoram Mr. P.V. Yogeswaran, AOR

Nagaland Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.

Sikkim Mr. A. Mariarputham, AAG

Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv.

Mr. K. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

for M/s Arputham Aruna & Co.

Uttar Pradesh
West Bengal

Tripura

Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Ms. Rashmi Srivastava, Adv.

3

Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, Adv.

Ms. Pragati Neekhra, AOR Mr. Utkarsh Jaiswal, Adv.

gal Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.

Mr. Mohit Paul, Adv.

A&N Islands Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.

Mrs. G. Indira, AOR

Puducherry

Mr.V.G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv.

Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. Khwairakpam Nobin Singh, AOR

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  $\,$ 

We have heard learned counsel for the parties including the learned Additional Advocate General for the State of U.P.

An interim status report dated 16<sup>th</sup> April, 2015 prepared by Ms. Renuka Kumar, Principal Secretary, Department of Women and Child Welfare, Government of Uttar Pradesh has been handed over in Court. The same is taken on record.

We have been shown some of the recommendations that have been made and extent of work that has been carried out.

www.ecourtsindia.com

Learned Additional Advocate General says that some further time is required to finalize the report and to make final recommendations. He says that the needful will be done within six weeks. Accordingly, we adjourn the matter to  $10^{\rm th}$  July, 2015 and we expect the final report and the final recommendations to be completed before that date and a copy thereof handed over to learned counsel for the parties.

4

We have also been informed that the State of Uttar Pradesh has released some amounts for the benefit of the widows in Vrindavan. We can only request the State of Uttar Pradesh to be little more liberal in making financial arrangements so that improvement condition of the widows of Vrindavan is not delayed.

We also make it clear that while considering the entire issue, the Principal Secretary will take into consideration the reports that have already mentioned in our order of 20th February, 2015 as also our earlier order dated 3rd August, 2012 while making a final report.

Since this matter primarily pertains to the State of Uttar Pradesh, there is no requirement for learned counsel for the other States/Union Territories to remain present in Court and their presence is accordingly dispensed with.

5

List the matter on 10<sup>th</sup> July, 2015.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I) COURT MASTER

(TAPAN KUMAR CHAKRABORTY) COURT MASTER